

IDEOLOGICAL & STRATEGIC LINKS B/W TTP AND AFGHAN TALIBAN

Furqan Ahmed^{*1}, Dr Lutfur Rehman²

^{*1}PhD Scholar

²PhD Supervisor, Muslim Youth University

²lutfurrehman8@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

Furqan Ahmed

DOI: <http://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22787983>

Received 05 February 2026	Accepted 10 February 2026	Published 13 February 2026
------------------------------	------------------------------	-------------------------------

ABSTRACT

This research paper examines the strategic and operational cooperation between the Afghan Taliban and Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), examining how their alliance strengthens both organisations' operational capacities and resilience along the Pakistan-Afghanistan border. The study examines important aspects of this alliance, including cross-border sanctuaries, coordinated tactics, resource sharing, intelligence exchange and cooperative recruitment networks, using a qualitative methodology based on secondary sources, including policy papers, academic research, and reports. Although the TTP aims to build an Islamic emirate in Pakistan and the Afghan Taliban primarily intends to establish control within Afghanistan, their shared ideological framework and regional goals support a strong cooperation relationship.

The Afghan Taliban gains from the TTP's operational infrastructure in Pakistan, while the TTP use Afghan safe havens because of this relationship. The ensuing interdependence makes counterinsurgency operations more difficult, increases difficulties for Pakistani security forces, and maintains a transnational insurgent network, especially in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa Province. The results highlight a crucial aspect of South Asia's security environment and emphasise the necessity of concerted regional actions to undermine this alliance.

Keywords: Pakistan, Afghanistan, Afghan Taliban, TTA, TTP, Ideology

INTRODUCTION

The security landscape in South Asia has been drastically altered by the emergence of Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP) and its close ties to the Afghan Taliban, with especially major ramifications for Pakistan and Afghanistan. Their connection is based on strategic complementarities, ideological convergence and similar historical paths influenced by sociopolitical instability and regional strife. The TTP was founded in 2007 and has since grown to become an umbrella group for other terrorist groups active in Pakistan. These organizations

came together to establish a system of government that was modelled after the Afghan Taliban's understanding of Islamic governance.

According to Pakistan, the TTP's tenacity and operational growth, aided in part by its ties to the Afghan Taliban, have constituted a multifaceted and ongoing security threat, undermining counterterrorism initiatives and escalating tensions in the two countries' bilateral relations. Cross-border militancy has been strengthened by the TTP and Afghan Taliban alliance, which goes beyond ideological similarity and includes

patterns of operational collaboration and mutual benefit. Both groups adhere to an Islamist ideology that was influenced by the Deobandi and is distinguished by a strict interpretation of Sharia and opposition to Western political and cultural standards. The TTP views the Afghan Taliban's creation of the Islamic Emirate as both a symbolic accomplishment and a strategic model for its goals in Pakistan and this common ideological stance serves as the normative foundation for their cooperation.

Although the TTP's principal theatre of operations is still in Pakistan, the Afghan Taliban's supremacy in Afghanistan has provided it with ideological legitimacy and various degrees of operational space. Such processes have led to the establishment of a cross-border insurgency ecosystem, providing ongoing difficulties to Pakistan's internal security architecture.

The connection between TTP and the Afghan Taliban has evolved, and it is inextricably linked to Pakistan's and Afghanistan's larger sociopolitical upheavals. The 1979 Soviet incursion in Afghanistan sparked the formation of many mujahideen groups that fought foreign occupation, with material and ideological support from regional and global powers, most notably Pakistan and the United States. This period formalised transnational terrorist networks throughout the Afghanistan-Pakistan borderlands, creating the structural and ideological groundwork for the future formation of the Afghan Taliban in the mid-1990s and, later, the TTP in Pakistan.

Islamist militant players in Pakistan, especially in the tribal region along the Durand Line, a historically porous and poorly administered frontier, found both a symbolic antecedent and a strategic model in the Taliban's 1996 consolidation of power in Afghanistan.

These dynamics were exacerbated by the regional order that emerged after 9/11. Many Taliban members and linked terrorists were relocated into Pakistan's erstwhile Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) as a result of the US-led military involvement in Afghanistan in 2001 and the overthrow of the Taliban administration under Operation Enduring Freedom. The region was especially vulnerable to radicalisation due to the

combination of displaced rebels, established militant infrastructures, the spread of small guns, which is often referred to as the "Kalashnikov culture," and the remaining networks of the anti-Soviet jihad. In this setting, several terrorist groups in Pakistan progressively came together, leading to the TTP's official formation in December 2007.

By pledging loyalty to its leadership and adopting identical goals, the TTP tactically and philosophically aligned itself with the Afghan Taliban. The TTP allegedly benefited from cross-border movement and access to Afghan territory for organising and carrying out operations against Pakistani targets, which allowed the group to strengthen its organisational ability and increase security pressure on the Pakistani government.

One of the main tenets of the TTP-Afghan Taliban relationship is ideological concord. According to Deobandi ideological traditions, both groups support the creation of a strict Islamic political rule. Deobandi ideology, which has its roots in colonial India, advocates a conservative view of Islam that is frequently linked to opposition to secular governance systems and Western political models.

Beyond their shared spiritual beliefs, both parties support military conflict as the primary means of bringing about political change. The TTP has viewed the Afghan Taliban's formation of the Islamic Emirate in Afghanistan as factual support for its own ideological goals in Pakistan. The TTP's frequent promises of support for the Afghan Taliban's leadership and insurgency campaign are a reflection of this shared worldview, which strengthens patterns of allegiance and symbolic commitment.

Strategic and operational concerns further strengthen this relationship's longevity. The Durand Line, which separates Pakistan and Afghanistan, has historically been hard to fully control, making it easier for terrorists, supplies, and weapons to move about. Pakistani counterterrorism efforts have been made more difficult by the TTP's ability to use cross-border areas as a haven and operational depth due to this geographic permeability.

At different points in time, the TTP has provided resources and people to the Afghan Taliban's insurgency against NATO forces and the Afghan

government, establishing a mutually beneficial agreement that has improved both parties' capacities. As a result of the cooperation, a cross-border insurgent ecosystem has been established, severely limiting the efficacy of counterterrorism tactics used by Afghan and Pakistani authorities.

A persistent regional and global worry from a geopolitical standpoint is the connection between the TTP and the Afghan Taliban. The TTP has continued to pose a threat to Pakistan's security and has had a major impact on how military operations are carried out in the former tribal areas, which has led to internal displacement, socioeconomic upheaval, and long-term instability in these areas. Border disputes and accusations of terrorist facilitation between Pakistan and Afghanistan have frequently soured bilateral ties. Regional rivalries have intensified views of strategic rivalry involving external players, while the Afghan state's low institutional and economic capabilities, especially in previous eras, have made coordinated responses to cross-border militancy even more difficult.

Concerns have also been raised by major countries like the US, China and Russia that instability associated with the TTP-Taliban nexus may have wider regional repercussions and impact the larger geopolitical equilibrium in South and Central Asia.

The TTP's relationship with the Afghan Taliban has changed over time in response to changing political circumstances. An important turning point in the dynamics of regional security was the United States' withdrawal from Afghanistan in 2021 and the Afghan Taliban's subsequent consolidation of power. Many have seen the Taliban's comeback as a strategic and symbolic boost for the TTP, which aims to imitate a similar system of government in Pakistan.

The Afghan Taliban made a public pledge to stop the use of Afghan land against foreign governments after seizing power in August 2021. But ongoing TTP operations along the Pakistan-Afghanistan border have heightened tensions and sparked concerns about how this promise would be carried out and upheld. The TTP has stepped up its attacks on civilians and Pakistani security personnel in recent years, especially in Khyber

Pakhtunkhwa, making the country's internal security issues worse.

The ideological coherence, strategic coordination, and historically established networks of the TTP-Taliban alliance make it a persistent danger to regional stability. In addition to complicating counterterrorism measures and further straining ties between Pakistan and Afghanistan, their collaboration improves organisational resilience and operational capacity on both sides of the border. The continued existence of these connections emphasises the necessity of a reevaluated strategy for dealing with transnational militancy, one that takes into account not just the operational aspects of cross-border insurgency but also the institutional frameworks and ideological underpinnings that support such groups.

Sustained international participation and coordinated regional institutions will be crucial to reducing the dangers connected with this alliance and promoting long-term stability in South Asia as Pakistan and Afghanistan deal with this complex security issue.

Methodology

This study uses a qualitative research approach.

The study is based on reliable secondary sources, such as international research reports, policy briefs and academic literature. The research aims to offer a thorough evaluation of the alliance's nature, development and ramifications.

Theoretical Framework

The maximising of power theory contends that to maintain survival and domination, actors in anarchic international systems want to amass and increase their power. It was most famously presented by John J. Mearsheimer in *The Tragedy of Great Power Politics*. Although this theory was first created to explain state conduct, it may also be used to explain armed non-state actors functioning in systems with lax governance. Power maximising is a key factor in explaining the doctrinal and strategic connections between the Afghan Taliban and the Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan (TTP), which go beyond simple religious affinities. Survival for both parties is determined by their ability to recruit, manage territory, exert influence, and use coercion in a competitive regional security

context. In addition to serving as a religious system, their common Deobandi-jihadist ideology serves as a mobilising tool to increase power, justify bloodshed, and rally followers beyond the Durand Line.

From a strategic standpoint, collaboration between the Afghan Taliban and the TTP strengthens their position in relation to the Pakistani government and other terrorist rivals. Both players expand their operational reach and negotiating power by offering refuge, exchanging training and endorsing each other's ideologies. The Afghan Taliban may be able to keep pressure on Pakistan and improve their negotiation position in the area by tolerating or subtly aiding the TTP. Aligning with a Kabul authority gives the TTP strategic safe havens and symbolic legitimacy, which increases its ability to exert pressure. From the standpoint of power maximisation, their connection is more about mutual benefit in boosting influence, deterrent capability and survival in a divided and disputed security environment than it is about doctrinal unity alone.

Ideological Parallels Between the TTP and the Afghan Taliban

A fundamental component of the relationship between the Afghan Taliban and Tehreek-e-Taliban Pakistan (TTP) is ideological alignment. Both parties function under the same Deobandi Islamist framework, but have different immediate political goals, the Afghan Taliban has sought to establish dominance within Afghanistan, while the TTP wants to overthrow and alter the Pakistani state. This ideological alignment shapes their political narratives, strategic perspectives and ideas of government. Analysing these ideological crossings offers important information on the coherence and longevity of their relationship as well as its wider geographical ramifications.

1. Shared Deobandi Orientation

Reliance on the Deobandi school of Sunni Islam, which originated in British India in the late nineteenth century, is a major area of intellectual affinity. Deobandi philosophy places a strong emphasis on doctrinal conservatism, rigorous adherence to religious rule and opposition to

outside political and cultural influences. This perspective, which supports maintaining religious orthodoxy in the face of modernisation, historically evolved in part in reaction to colonial control and the perceived weakening of Islamic authority.

This theological legacy serves as justification for the political and military goals of the TTP and the Afghan Taliban. The Afghan Taliban developed close ties with Deobandi religious academics in Afghanistan and with seminaries (madrassas) in Pakistan's border areas, especially in Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, during their ascent in the mid-1990s. In a similar vein, the TTP, which was formally founded in 2007 as a coalition of terrorist groups, has used Deobandi ideals to defend its use of force against the government of Pakistan.

Both parties have the same political goal: the creation of a state structure ruled in accordance with their interpretation of Sharia, notwithstanding disparities in operational focus and country context. In addition to guiding their strategic alignment, their shared ideology fosters mutual respect and collaboration within a larger international Islamist environment.

2. Orientation against the West

The TTPs and the Afghan Taliban's strong resistance to Western political and military dominance represent a substantial ideological convergence. According to these organisations, Islamic identity, sovereignty, and the political independence of Muslim countries are directly threatened by Western involvement in the area, especially that of the United States. The Afghan Taliban justified violent resistance against what they described as foreign occupation by portraying the US-led 2001 operation in Afghanistan and the ensuing international military presence as abuses of national and religious sovereignty.

The TTP promotes a different story, seeing American engagement in Afghanistan and collaboration with the Pakistani government as examples of Western meddling in the political and religious affairs of the area. This ideological framework elevates military resistance to perceived Western expansion to the level of religious responsibility, sometimes expressed in terms of jihad. Both factions are framed in this way as

supporters of Islam and opponents of outside dominance.

This common anti-Western rhetoric acts as a uniting factor that strengthens strategic alignment and cross-border unity. The TTP has been able to place its own fight against the Pakistani state within a larger narrative of opposition to Western hegemony by drawing inspiration and justification from the Afghan Taliban's long-running struggle against the U.S.-led coalition. Because both movements see their separate fights as interrelated parts of a larger conflict between Islamist militancy and Western influence in the Muslim world, their ideological affinity bolsters practical and symbolic collaboration.

3. Disapproval of Secular Governance

The TTPs and the Afghan Taliban's adamant opposition to secular political structures is another fundamental area of ideological convergence. Both organisations support the union of religion and state power, arguing that social order, legislation, and government should all be governed by Islamic precepts. In contrast to constitutional frameworks based on secular or pluralistic ideas, their political vision focuses on the creation of a state that is solely ruled in accordance with their interpretation of Sharia.

The Afghan Taliban established a system of government based on their interpretation of Islamic law while they ruled Afghanistan from 1996 to 2001. The goal of reorganising Pakistan's constitutional order to conform to a similar emirate-style arrangement has also been stated by the TTP. Both organisations view secular administration as incompatible with Islamic legitimacy and as a departure from what they believe to be divinely ordained political power, drawing on Deobandi ideological teachings.

The founding leaders of the TTP specifically demanded that Pakistan's constitutional structure be replaced with an Islamic Emirate based on the Afghan Taliban's structure. Some violent groups that believe that secular political structures threaten Islamic principles and social harmony have found resonance in this worldview. In this way, the opposition to secularism serves as a normative basis for each group's insurgency effort

in addition to strengthening ideological ties between them.

4. Militant Jihadism and the Use of Violence as an Instrument

The Afghan Taliban and the TTP both view jihad, which is defined as armed conflict, as a key tool for accomplishing their ideological and political goals. Violent resistance is presented in their doctrinal frameworks as a religiously mandated duty to protect Islam from perceived external invasion and internal corruption, rather than only as a tactical decision. Jihad has historically been used by the Afghan Taliban to justify armed resistance against the Soviet involvement in the 1980s, the U.S.-led military presence that followed, and succeeding Afghan administrations that the organisation said were illegitimate or imposed from without.

In a similar vein, the TTP uses the creation of a political system based solely on its interpretation of Islamic law as justification for its violent campaign against the Pakistani government. Attacks on Pakistani security personnel and state institutions are interpreted through this lens as part of a larger effort to rid governance systems of what the organisation considers to be un-Islamic tendencies.

Both groups have used a variety of asymmetrical methods in their operations, such as guerrilla warfare, improvised explosive devices, targeted killings, and suicide bombers. There have been reports of cross-border interactions where the TTP has reportedly provided personnel and operational coordination in exchange for logistical space and infrastructure help in regions influenced by the Taliban. Both parties' campaigns, which targeted non-combatants and governmental institutions, have caused significant military and civilian losses. Their persistent use of violence demonstrates how deeply ingrained militant jihadism is in their worldviews and strengthens their resolve to uphold their normative interpretation of Islamic rule.

5. Ideological solidarity and mutual inspiration

Beyond simple coordination, the TTP and Afghan Taliban have a relationship that includes aspects

of intellectual convergence and symbolic affinity. The TTP was greatly encouraged by the re-establishment of the Islamic Emirate in Afghanistan when the United States withdrew its soldiers in 2021. The TTP has used the resurgence of the Afghan Taliban as factual support for its own ideology. Public declarations ascribed to the TTP have often praised the Taliban's accomplishments and stated a desire to establish a comparable system of administration in Pakistan. In this way, the TTP views the Afghan Taliban's victory as both a source of inspiration and a strategic model, placing its fight within a larger transnational Islamist narrative. This dynamic deepens the symbolic cohesiveness between the two movements and reaffirms a feeling of shared purpose that goes beyond immediate practical issues.

The geopolitical context of the Afghanistan-Pakistan borderlands shapes the strategic and tactical linkages that support the TTP-Afghan Taliban nexus's longevity. Despite operating in different national settings, the two groups' goals share fundamental commonalities. The Afghan Taliban achieved state rule in two different eras (1996–2001 and after 2021) by attempting to establish political power in Afghanistan under a strict interpretation of Sharia. In the same vein, the TTP supports overthrowing Pakistan's current constitutional order and installing an emirate-style administration based on Afghan experience.

The two organisations' continued engagement has been made easier by their proximity, shared ideologies and overlapping strategic goals. According to reports, these exchanges involve the offering of asylum, tactical cooperation, mutual assistance in terms of personnel and material and other kinds of sharing.

6. Cross-Border Safe Havens and Sanctuary

One of the most significant strategic benefits of the TTP's alliance with the Afghan Taliban is access to cross-border sanctuaries. Parts of the TTP leadership and militants allegedly moved to locations within Afghanistan as the Pakistan Army stepped up its military operations, most notably Operation Zarb-e-Azb in 2014. According to several accounts, these areas are used for

operations planning, training, and regrouping with an eye towards targets within Pakistan.

The Afghan Taliban have purportedly received help from the TTP in return, including information and personnel contributions during insurgency operations against the Afghan government and international forces. Both parties have benefited strategically from this mutually beneficial partnership. Keeping up relations with the TTP may give the Afghan Taliban more clout and sway along the border. Cross-border mobility provides strategic depth for the TTP, allowing them to lessen the impact of counterinsurgency operations in Pakistan.

Pakistan's security policy has been structurally challenged by the continued existence of such sanctuaries. If extremist forces continue to have the ability to retreat, regroup, and resume operations from across the border, counterterrorism successes made within national territory might be jeopardised.

7. Coordinating Tactics and Sharing Military Knowledge

According to reports, the cooperation between the TTP and the Afghan Taliban has involved aspects of operational coordination and tactical learning in addition to sanctuary arrangements. Asymmetric warfare tactics, such as the use of improvised explosive devices (IEDs), coordinated ambushes, targeted attacks on security posts, and intricate, multi-phase operations, have been used by both parties throughout time. According to analysts, the TTP's operational strategies within Pakistan were improved as a result of exposure to the Afghan Taliban's struggle against NATO forces.

Conversely, it has occasionally been claimed that TTP members join the Afghan Taliban in operations, especially in eastern Afghanistan. Such cooperation may have given the TTP more combat experience and chances to improve its training standards, while also allowing the Taliban to increase its force during crucial battles.

A certain level of strategic symbiosis is shown in this pattern of contact, whereby both firms gain operational advantages via coordinated participation and shared expertise. In the context of the region's changing security environment, the

ensuing convergence in strategies and organisational procedures has strengthened both movements' flexibility and resilience.

8. Financial Networks and the Mobilisation of Resources

Both the Afghan Taliban and the TTP depend heavily on cross-border facilitation networks and a variety of funding sources to be operationally viable. In the past, the TTP has financed its terrorist operations and organisational infrastructure through a variety of illegal economic activities, such as extortion, smuggling, abduction for ransom, and drug trafficking. The organisation has been able to sustain recruitment, logistics, and procurement channels because of the significant liquidity given by the revenues gained from these operations, especially those related to the local drug trade.

Financial interdependence amongst militant players has been made easier by the presence of overlapping smuggling routes and informal businesses along the border. The TTP has allegedly benefited from larger regional trafficking circuits in which the Afghan Taliban has also been implicated, while also providing logistical assistance and transit access through existing networks operating in tribal and borderland regions. Whether direct or indirect, these agreements have made it possible for resources to move across national borders.

9. Psychological Operations and Propaganda

As tools of ideological recruitment and consolidation, the Afghan Taliban and the TTP have both shown a consistent investment in media creation and narrative development. The groups aim to delegitimise state institutions, legitimate their acts, and contextualise their campaigns within a larger narrative of religious resistance through official declarations, films, online publications, and social media diffusion.

While depicting enemies, especially Western actors and associated governments, as antagonistic to Muslim cultures, their propaganda usually highlights themes of martyrdom, resistance to foreign intrusion, and the protection of Islamic identity. In order to portray strength, boost

supporter morale and erode public trust in state security organisations, media outputs frequently tout purported operational victories.

These tales' reach has been further expanded by the growth of digital communication channels. Particularly, the TTP has modified aspects of the Taliban's communication strategy to fit Pakistan's changing information landscape, where social media usage is widespread. Both groups maintain recruiting pipelines and strengthen ideological coherence by coordinating messaging tactics and occasionally repeating one another's stories. Even in the face of military defeats, these propaganda campaigns' tenacity adds to their organisational resilience (Fair, 2009).

10. Coordination of Escalation and Strategic Timing

The strategic timing of assaults with respect to significant military or political events is another discernible aspect of interaction. Following major regional events, militant activity has occasionally increased, indicating attempts to take advantage of apparent shifts in momentum. A turning point in the dynamics of regional security was the US departure from Afghanistan in 2021 and the Taliban's subsequent consolidation of power. The TTP mostly saw the Taliban's comeback as a symbolic affirmation that political results could be achieved via persistent insurgency.

Following these events, observers saw a rise in terrorist activity in some areas of Pakistan as an attempt to take advantage of the new strategic landscape. Operations that are conducted concurrently or in tandem on both sides of the border may put additional burden on state security institutions, requiring them to handle several threats that are spread out geographically. These trends run the danger of overtaxing counterterrorism resources and making it more difficult for national authorities to coordinate.

Both organisations aim to take advantage of fundamental weaknesses in state institutions through planned coordination and controlled escalation. The way that timing, narrative framing, and operational coordination all come together highlights how complex their relationship is and how it affects regional stability.

11. Joint Recruiting Networks and Combatant Activation

TTP and the Afghan Taliban are said to have profited from overlapping social, ideological and institutional ties in the crucial area of recruitment. In the former Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA) and neighbouring Afghan provinces, both parties rely on extensive networks of tribal, family, and cross-border kinfolk, where sociocultural affinities aid in mobilisation. Some sections of religious schools that follow Deobandi doctrinal orientations have traditionally served as places of ideological socialisation and possible recruitment, which serves to further strengthen these local dynamics.

By drawing those swayed by conventional militant narratives, the larger legacy of jihadist mobilisation in the Af-Pak area has also facilitated transnational recruitment flows. The Afghan Taliban and the TTP have maintained recruiting pipelines that ensure organisational continuity through cross-regional ties and a common ideological message. These networks promote ideological cohesiveness and operational longevity by reinforcing a common narrative that is oriented toward opposition to perceived secular rule and foreign intrusion.

Implications for the Region and Geopolitics

There are important ramifications for both interstate relations and regional security from the alliance between the TTP and the Afghan Taliban. Persistent tensions between Kabul and Islamabad have been exacerbated by allegations that TTP militants have been using Afghan territory as a shelter. These factors make bilateral collaboration more difficult, especially when considering Afghanistan's conflicting security interests and low institutional capabilities.

Concerns about cross-border instability and terrorist spillover are heightened at the regional level by the two organisations' apparent convergence. Concern over the possible spread of terrorist networks into Central and South Asia has been voiced by neighbouring nations, such as China and Russia.

Relations between Afghanistan and Pakistan have become even more tense as a result of claims of mutual support for terrorists, highlighting the

difficulties associated with transnational insurgencies' connections to regional stability and state sovereignty.

Effect on Pakistan's Counterterrorism and Security Initiatives

Pakistan's internal security framework has faced significant difficulties as a result of the TTP's alleged use of Afghan territory as an assault staging area. Cross-border mobility and purported sanctuary arrangements have limited the effectiveness of purely kinetic measures, even if subsequent military operations in tribal regions have sought to destroy terrorist infrastructure. In response, Pakistan has increased counterterrorism operations and given priority to border control measures, including fence projects and increased army deployment.

The prolonged insurgency has had serious socioeconomic repercussions outside of the security realm. Recurrent violence has caused psychological damage, economic upheaval and relocation for communities in impacted areas.. The results of diplomatic attempts to stop TTP activities with Afghan authorities have been conflicting, highlighting the need for a multifaceted approach that combines security measures with political discussions and socioeconomic stabilisation programs.

Potential Future Events and Suggestions for Policy

The direction of the TTP-Afghan Taliban relationship will probably be determined by changing regional alliances, internal Afghan political considerations and the degree of foreign interaction with the Taliban-led government. Kabul may be more motivated to restrict overt or covert assistance for transnational terrorist entities if it places a higher priority on international legitimacy and economic normalcy.

A comprehensive framework that goes beyond military containment is necessary for a long-lasting governmental response. Mechanisms for border control, intelligence cooperation, and institutional capacity-building should be supported by regional players and foreign partners. Addressing the underlying causes of militancy simultaneously requires focused

development programs meant to lessen economic marginalisation and susceptibility to radicalisation.

Conclusion

A pattern of collaboration and mutual reinforcement has been made possible by the ideological convergence between the TTP and the Afghan Taliban, which is based on Deobandi-inspired views of Islamic administration, resistance to secular state structures and support for the application of Sharia. These same theological underpinnings have facilitated coordinated or parallel violent activities by offering both strategic alignment and symbolic legitimacy.

Operationally, the relationship includes information sharing, recruiting connections, resource transfers, reported sanctuary dynamics and narrative synchronisation. Counterinsurgency operations in Pakistan and Afghanistan are made more difficult by this multifaceted interplay, which also strengthens organisational resilience. Regional stability will continue to be precarious as long as these connections exist, requiring coordinated security, diplomatic, and developmental approaches to deal with the changing threats posed by transnational extremist networks.

Bibliography

- Abbas, Hassan. *The Taliban Revival: Violence and Extremism on the Pakistan-Afghanistan Frontier*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2014.
- Fair, C. Christine, and Seth G. Jones. *Securing Pakistan's Tribal Belt*. Washington, DC: National Defence University Press, 2009.
- Grare, Frédéric. *Pakistan-Afghanistan Relations in the Post-9/11 Era*. Washington, DC: Carnegie Endowment for International Peace, 2016.
- Gul, Imtiaz. *The Most Dangerous Place: Pakistan's Lawless Frontier*. London: Penguin Books, 2012.
- International Crisis Group. *Afghanistan's Taliban Government: The View from Pakistan*. Brussels: International Crisis Group, 2021.

- Jan, Amanullah. "The Rise of Taliban in Afghanistan in 2021 and Its Security Implications for Pakistan." *Pakistan Journal of Social Research* 4, no. 4 (2022): 1059-1067.
- Jones, Seth G., and C. Christine Fair. *Counterinsurgency in Pakistan*. Santa Monica, CA: RAND Corporation, 2010.
- Kaplan, Robert D. *The Revenge of Geography: What the Map Tells Us About Coming Conflicts and the Battle Against Fate*. New York: Random House, 2014.
- Marsden, Magnus. *Afghanistan: From Holy War to Civil War*. London: Hurst, 2016.
- Rashid, Ahmed. *Taliban: Militant Islam, Oil and Fundamentalism in Central Asia*. New Haven: Yale University Press, 2000.
- Roy, Olivier. *Islamic Radicalism in Afghanistan and Pakistan: A Review of International Commission Reports*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2011.
- Zahid, Farhan. "The Tehrik-i-Taliban Pakistan: A Jihadist Network in Transition." *Counter Terrorist Trends and Analyses* 11, no. 5 (2019): 1-5.