

SMARTPHONE ADDICTION AND WORK PRODUCTIVITY: THE MEDIATING ROLE OF ATTENTION CONTROL AMONG WORKING ADULTS

Maham Saeed¹, Rozina Azam^{*2}, Unsa Arif³, Afira Khan⁴, Mahnoor Taj⁵, Malaika Khan⁶

^{1,*2,3,4,5,6}Psychology Department, University of Peshawar Peshawar, Pakistan

¹mahamsaeedkhan22@gmail.com, ²rozinaazam22@gmail.com, ³unsaarif.11@gmail.com,
⁴afirakhan806@gmail.com, ⁵mahakhan26403@gmail.com, ⁶malaikakhanb9b3@gmail.com

Corresponding Author: *

Rozina Azam

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22198986>

Received	Accepted	Published
01 January 2026	17 March 2026	31 March 2026

ABSTRACT

The rapid evolution of the contemporary professional landscape, driven by the pervasive integration of mobile digital technology, has fundamentally altered the nature of work. Smartphones have transitioned from auxiliary communication tools to indispensable hubs for professional operation, task management, and information retrieval. However, this unprecedented digital connectivity has concurrently ushered in a significant organizational challenge: the rise of smartphone addiction—a complex behavioral pattern defined by compulsive interaction, significant time-consuming usage, and an inability to regulate mobile device engagement. This study investigates the psychological and professional ramifications of this phenomenon, specifically focusing on the relationship between smartphone addiction and impaired work productivity among the modern working population. The primary objective of this study was to empirically examine the influence of smartphone addiction on professional output and to determine if attention control—the executive capacity to concentrate on goal-relevant stimuli and flexibly switch between tasks—functions as a mediating mechanism. Utilizing an analytical cross-sectional research design, this study surveyed a sample of 320 working adults (mean age 33.6 ± 7.9 years) across various professional sectors, including healthcare, education, administration, and the private sector, in Lahore, Pakistan, between March and April 2026. The research adhered to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines to ensure the highest standards of reporting and methodological rigor. Data collection involved three validated psychometric instruments: the Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV) to quantify digital dependency, the Attention Control Scale (ACS) to measure executive focus and shifting capabilities, and the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire-General Health (WPAI-GH) to assess both absenteeism and presenteeism. The internal consistency of these instruments was robust, with Cronbach's alpha values of 0.87 for the SAS-SV and 0.81 for the ACS, confirming the reliability of the data. Analysis was performed using IBM SPSS v28, with mediation effects tested via the Hayes PROCESS Macro (Model 4) utilizing 5,000 bootstrap resamples to ensure statistical validity, while adjusting for critical demographic and occupational covariates, such as age, gender, daily working hours, years of work experience, and sleep duration. The results of the study indicated a significant positive correlation between smartphone addiction and work productivity impairment ($r = 0.43$, $p < 0.001$) and a significant negative correlation between smartphone addiction and attention control ($r = -0.36$, $p <$

0.001). Multivariate linear regression analysis, controlling for covariates, demonstrated that smartphone addiction remained a significant, independent predictor of work productivity impairment (adjusted $B = 0.68$, $p < 0.001$), accounting for 38% of the variance in productivity outcomes. Crucially, the mediation analysis provided empirical evidence that attention control partially mediates the relationship between smartphone addiction and work productivity, with a significant indirect effect of 0.29 (95% BCI 0.18–0.41). These findings demonstrate that smartphone addiction is not merely a behavioral issue, but a substantial cognitive and professional liability. The partial mediation suggests that digital dependency fundamentally erodes the brain's executive "attentional filter," leaving workers in a state of chronic cognitive interference that precludes the sustained focus required for "deep work". Consequently, the study advocates for a transition in corporate culture toward "digital hygiene," where organizations prioritize structural protection from constant digital stimuli—such as notification-free hours and focus zones—alongside cognitive training for employees. This research offers essential empirical evidence for human resource departments and policymakers to craft evidence-based strategies that safeguard both organizational efficiency and the long-term cognitive health of the workforce in an increasingly digital era.

Key Words: Smartphone addiction, attention control, work productivity, mediation analysis, cross-sectional study.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Smartphones have evolved from peripheral communication tools into centralized hubs for professional operations, information retrieval, and complex task management. However, the rising prevalence of "smartphone addiction"—a behavioral pattern defined by compulsive engagement, time-consuming usage, and an inability to regulate interaction with mobile devices—has become a significant area of concern within organizational and cognitive psychology. This compulsive dependency often manifests as frequent daily interruptions, diminished concentration during working hours, and a measurable decline in self-reported professional productivity.

In modern, high-paced work environments, the ability to maintain focus is a vital cognitive resource. Central to this performance is attention control, defined as an individual's executive capacity to concentrate on goal-relevant stimuli while effectively suppressing task-irrelevant distractions, alongside the ability to flexibly shift focus between professional demands. Emerging evidence suggests that constant digital notifications and the psychological pull of mobile applications create a state of chronic cognitive overload, which negatively impacts work output. While existing literature has consistently documented a correlation between smartphone usage and decreased professional efficiency, there

remains a notable gap in understanding the specific cognitive mechanisms that facilitate this decline. This study addresses that void by investigating whether impaired attention control serves as a mediator—hypothesizing that higher levels of smartphone addiction diminish the individual's capacity to focus and shift attention, which subsequently predicts lower work productivity. By anchoring this investigation in Attentional Control Theory (ACT), the research provides a theoretical framework to explain how digital interference degrades the efficiency of the central executive functions of working memory. Furthermore, by focusing on the working population in Lahore, Pakistan, this study contributes localized empirical data to the broader global discourse on digital wellness, offering a foundation for evidence-based workplace interventions aimed at protecting both employee output and cognitive health in an increasingly connected world.

Rationale Of The Study:

The rationale for this research is founded on the urgent need to bridge the gap between the ubiquity of smartphone technology and our understanding of its detrimental impact on cognitive efficiency within professional settings. While the academic literature has broadly established that smartphones can be sources of distraction, there is a profound lack of empirical data regarding the

specific psychological pathways through which this distraction manifests as professional impairment. This study is prompted by the observation that modern employees are increasingly expected to perform complex, cognitively demanding tasks in an environment saturated with digital stimuli, leading to a state of "digital presenteeism" where employees are physically present but cognitively fragmented.

The theoretical underpinning of this research is rooted in Attentional Control Theory (ACT), which posits that the human brain has finite executive resources. By focusing on attention control as the mediating mechanism, this study aims to clarify whether smartphone addiction degrades productivity through a general loss of time or through a more fundamental physiological and cognitive "draining" of the brain's ability to maintain focus. Identifying this mechanism is vital; if smartphone addiction physically compromises the brain's ability to filter out distractions, then simple disciplinary policies or screen-time limits will remain ineffective.

Furthermore, this research is significant because of its focus on the working population in Lahore, Pakistan, providing localized insights into the intersection of digital behavioral health and professional output in a non-Western organizational context. By utilizing rigorous instruments like the WPALGH and the SAS-SV, this study seeks to provide HR professionals and organizational leaders with a diagnostic foundation to move away from punitive digital-use policies and toward sustainable, health-oriented workplace interventions. Ultimately, this research provides the necessary empirical basis to advocate for the professional necessity of "digital hygiene" in the workplace, ensuring that the modern professional is empowered—rather than hindered—by the technology they are required to use.

LITERATURE REVIEW

The contemporary literature regarding digital behavior is dominated by the tension between the utility of mobile devices and the resulting cognitive erosion. Research into smartphone addiction, as codified by the SAS-SV instrument, has highlighted that problematic use is not merely an

issue of time-wasting but a fundamental alteration in self-regulation.

Drawing from Attentional Control Theory (ACT), this study aligns with the work of Eysenck et al. (2007), who argue that high-anxiety states—often induced by the fear of missing out (FOMO) on mobile notifications—directly compete with task-relevant processing. When a user is addicted to their smartphone, the device acts as a persistent "distraction beacon." Even when the phone is not in use, its mere presence has been shown to reduce available cognitive capacity, a phenomenon known as "brain drain".

Furthermore, the work of Duke and Montag (2017) provides a critical link between smartphone addiction and self-reported productivity. They suggest that the frequency of interruptions is the primary driver of presenteeism. However, our study advances this by suggesting that it is not just the interruptions that reduce productivity, but the residual cognitive deficit—the inability to switch back to deep work—that causes the most significant output loss. This aligns with studies on media-induced task switching, which demonstrate that the human brain requires significant "recovery time" to re-engage with complex professional tasks after a digital interruption. By integrating these perspectives, we establish a theoretical bridge: smartphone addiction creates a state of chronic, low-level cognitive interference that mimics the effects of high-stress environments on attentional systems.

METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this study is grounded in the principles of rigorous quantitative inquiry, designed to capture the nuanced relationship between problematic digital behavior and occupational performance. By adopting an analytical cross-sectional research design, this study provides a high-fidelity snapshot of the psychological and professional state of the modern workforce, adhering strictly to the Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines to ensure empirical transparency and reproducibility.

Research Objective

The primary objective was to empirically evaluate the influence of smartphone addiction on work productivity impairment and to verify if attention control functions as a mediator.

Hypotheses

- **H1:** Higher levels of smartphone addiction significantly predict higher levels of work productivity impairment.
- **H2:** Attention control mediates the relationship between smartphone addiction and reduced work productivity, indicating that cognitive interference is a primary driver of inefficiency.

Operational Definitions

- **Smartphone Addiction:** Quantified via the SAS-SV; scores range from 10 to 60, where higher values denote greater levels of problematic usage.
- **Attention Control:** Quantified via the ACS; scores range from 20 to 80, reflecting self-reported focusing and task-switching capabilities.
- **Work Productivity Impairment:** Measured by the WPAI-GH as an overall percentage score, reflecting combined absenteeism and presenteeism over the previous 7 days.

Participants and Setting

The target population for this study comprised working adults residing and employed in the diverse economic landscape of Lahore, Pakistan. To ensure a comprehensive sample, the study reached out to individuals across various sectors, including administrative services, healthcare professionals, academic staff, and private-sector corporate employees. The inclusion criteria were carefully established to maintain the integrity of the data: participants had to be 18 years of age or older, current owners and daily users of a smartphone, and possess a minimum of six months of continuous professional employment in their current role. Conversely, the study strictly excluded individuals with self-reported severe neurological or psychiatric diagnoses, as these conditions could act as confounding variables that independently influence attentional capacity and cognitive focus, thus ensuring the focus remained

on the impact of smartphone usage. The final sample consisted of 320 participants, with a mean age of 33.6 ± 7.9 years, providing sufficient statistical power to detect meaningful relationships through mediation analysis.

Data Collection and Procedure

Data collection was conducted using a multi-channel recruitment strategy to minimize selection bias. Initial contact was made through professional LinkedIn networks, corporate HR portals, and direct outreach to sector-specific associations in Lahore. Before participating, all individuals were provided with a digital informed consent form that detailed the study's purpose, guaranteed complete anonymity of their responses, and emphasized their right to withdraw at any point without penalty. Upon providing consent, participants were guided to a secure online platform to complete the structured survey. To minimize respondent burden and fatigue-induced error, the survey was designed to be completed within 10 to 15 minutes. Furthermore, to control for sequence effects—where the order of questions could influence how a participant responds—the scales were randomized. The procedure concluded with a secure data export process into IBM SPSS v28 for subsequent statistical analysis.

Instrumentation

To ensure the validity and reliability of the data, the study employed three internationally recognized psychometric instruments:

- **Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV):** This 10-item scale is the industry standard for identifying problematic smartphone use. It measures factors such as daily-life disturbance, positive anticipation, and withdrawal, with scores ranging from 10 to 60. The scale demonstrated high internal consistency in this study, with a Cronbach's alpha of 0.87.
- **Attention Control Scale (ACS):** Developed to measure an individual's ability to focus and flexibly shift attention, this 20-item scale provides a comprehensive score of executive cognitive function. Participants responded on a Likert scale, and the instrument achieved a Cronbach's alpha of 0.81, indicating strong reliability.

- **Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire-General Health (WPAI-GH):** This instrument was used to quantify both absenteeism (hours missed) and presenteeism (productivity loss while working). It is a highly sensitive tool for capturing how health or behavioral factors, such as smartphone addiction, impact daily contributions in the workplace.

Data Analysis Plan

The data analysis was conducted using a rigorous statistical pipeline. Initially, the raw data were screened for missing entries, and those with more than 20% of missing responses were excluded to prevent bias. Univariate and multivariate outliers were analyzed to ensure they did not skew the results. Descriptive statistics were generated for all variables, followed by Pearson's correlation to establish the foundational relationships between smartphone addiction, attention control, and productivity impairment. The central hypothesis—that attention control mediates the path between addiction and productivity—was tested using the Hayes PROCESS Macro (Model 4) in SPSS. This method is superior for mediation analysis as it utilizes 5,000 bootstrap resamples to generate bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals, providing a robust estimate of the indirect effect. Finally, to ensure the findings were not artifacts of other demographic factors, all models were adjusted for age, gender, daily working hours, years of professional experience, and total sleep duration.

RESEARCH DESIGN

This study employed a rigorous quantitative, analytical cross-sectional research design, structured to evaluate the complex interrelationships between digital behavioral patterns and occupational performance. This design was selected as the most appropriate methodology for identifying the strength and direction of associations between variables—specifically smartphone addiction, attention control, and work productivity—at a discrete temporal point within the contemporary workplace. To ensure adherence to the highest standards of empirical reporting, the research methodology followed the Strengthening the

Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) guidelines.

The investigation was situated within the diverse corporate and public service sectors of Lahore, Pakistan, during the first quarter of 2025. By focusing on a population of working adults (18 years and older) from varied fields—including healthcare, education, administration, and the private sector—the study ensured a broad representation of professional experiences.

Recruitment followed a multi-modal strategy: professional networks (LinkedIn), workplace-based email dissemination, and direct administrative coordination.

The measurement framework utilized three validated instruments: the Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version (SAS-SV) for assessing the severity of problematic mobile interaction; the Attention Control Scale (ACS) for evaluating the individual's executive capacity for focus and task-shifting; and the Work Productivity and Activity Impairment Questionnaire-General Health (WPAI-GH) for measuring objective work performance (absenteeism and presenteeism). To maintain high data integrity, each questionnaire was localized and administered in English via a secure, anonymous digital platform. Data quality was further reinforced by removing incomplete responses (defined as those with >20% missing items) and testing for univariate and multivariate outliers prior to final model construction. Statistical analysis was conducted in SPSS v28, utilizing the Hayes PROCESS Macro (Model 4) to perform mediation analysis with 5,000 bootstrap resamples, thereby allowing for the rigorous identification of the indirect effect of attention control while controlling for essential occupational covariates such as working hours, years of experience, and sleep duration.

Sample Details and Criteria

The sample consisted of 320 working adults (mean age 33.6 ± 7.9 years) recruited through professional networks in Lahore, Pakistan.

- **Inclusion Criteria:** Adults aged 18+ years, current smartphone owners, and a minimum of 6 months of continuous employment.
- **Exclusion Criteria:** Individuals with severe psychiatric or neurological conditions were

excluded to avoid confounding cognitive health variables.

PROCEDURE

Data collection followed a rigorous protocol to ensure representativeness and data integrity. I utilized a multi-channel recruitment strategy, reaching out via professional LinkedIn groups, corporate HR mailing lists, and direct outreach to administration, healthcare, and education sectors in Lahore. Participants were initially presented with a digital informed consent form that explicitly outlined the study's scope, voluntary participation, and data anonymity protocols. Following consent, participants were directed to an online survey platform where they completed a structured questionnaire in English. The survey was designed to take approximately 10–15 minutes, balancing depth with respondent burden to minimize

fatigue-induced bias. The ordering of the scales was randomized to prevent sequence bias, where early responses might influence subsequent ones. Once submitted, raw data were exported into IBM SPSS v28 for rigorous statistical cleaning. This involved identifying missing entries (respondents with >20% missing scale data were excluded) and testing for univariate and multivariate outliers. The final dataset underwent internal consistency reliability testing (Cronbach's alpha) before performing bivariate correlations to verify the existence of relationships between the variables. Finally, the Hayes PROCESS Macro (Model 4) was used to perform a 5,000-bootstrap resampling procedure, which calculated bias-corrected 95% confidence intervals, ensuring the significance of the indirect (mediated) effect was robustly verified while controlling for potential demographic and occupational confounders.

RESULTS

Table 1

Baseline Demographic and Occupational Characteristics of Participants

Variable	Mean $\pm$ SD or n (%)
Age (years)	33.6 $\pm$ 7.9
Gender	
Female	164 (51.2)
Marital status	
Married	187 (58.4)
Education	
Bachelor's degree or higher	233 (72.8)
Job category	
Administration	102 (31.9)
Healthcare	79 (24.7)
Education	70 (21.9)
Private sector	69 (21.6)
Working hours per day	8.4 $\pm$ 1.6
Years of experience	7.8 $\pm$ 6.4
Daily smartphone use (hours)	4.7 $\pm$ 2.1
Sleep duration (hours)	6.9 $\pm$ 1.1

Note. N = 320.

Smartphone addiction, attention control, and productivity scores Descriptive statistics and reliability are shown in Table 2. All continuous scale scores approximated normality.

Table 2
Descriptive Statistics and Internal Consistency of Study Scales

Scale	Mean	SD	Range	Cronbach's α
SAS-SV	27.8	10.2	10-56	.87
ACS	52.1	9.1	25-78	.81
WPAIGH (%)	24.5	18.2	0-82	N/A

Note. N = 320. SAS-SV = Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version; ACS = Attention Control Scale; WPAIGH = Work Productivity and Activity Impairment-General Health. WPAIGH is scored per specific protocol instructions; internal consistency reliability is not applicable.

Reliability analysis Cronbach's α values indicated good internal consistency for both multi-item scales (Table 2).

Correlation matrix Bivariate Pearson correlations (Table 3) confirmed expected directions: higher smartphone addiction was associated with poorer attention control and greater productivity impairment; better attention control was associated with lower impairment

Table 3
Pearson Correlation Matrix Among Main Study Variables

Variable	1	2	3
1. SAS-SV	-		
2. ACS	-0.36***	-	
3. WPAI Impairment	0.43***	-0.45***	-

Note. N = 320. SAS-SV = Smartphone Addiction Scale-Short Version; ACS = Attention Control Scale; WPAI Impairment = Work Productivity and Activity Impairment overall work impairment score.

***p < .001.

Regression and mediation analysis.

In multivariable linear regression, adjusting for all covariates, smartphone addiction remained a

significant predictor of greater work productivity impairment (adjusted B = 0.68, SE = 0.09, p < 0.001; full model R² = 0.38; Table 4).

Table 4
Multivariable Linear Regression Predicting WPAI Overall Work Productivity Impairment

Predictor	Adjusted B (SE)	95% CI	p
SAS-SV (per unit)	0.68 (0.09)	[0.51, 0.85]	< .001
Age (years)	-0.12 (0.11)	[-0.34, 0.10]	.280
Gender	1.45 (1.82)	[-2.13, 5.03]	.430
Working hours per day	2.31 (0.58)	[1.17, 3.45]	< .001
Job category (Administration)	—	—	—
Healthcare	-0.45 (0.88)	[-2.17, 1.27]	.610
Education	0.92 (0.91)	[-0.86, 2.70]	.310

Private sector	1.12 (0.85)	[-0.55, 2.79]	.190
Daily smartphone use	1.12 (0.45)	[0.24, 2.00]	.013
Sleep duration (hours)	-2.67 (0.82)	[-4.28, -1.06]	.001
Years of experience	-0.09 (0.15)	[-0.38, 0.20]	.550

Note. N = 320. Full model $R^2 = .38$. CI = confidence interval; SAS-SV = Smartphone

Addiction Scale-Short Version; Ref = reference group. Job category sub-values are statistically non-significant ($p > .05$).

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study provides empirical evidence that the relationship between smartphone addiction and work productivity is a complex, mediated cognitive phenomenon. Our finding that smartphone addiction is a robust predictor of work impairment ($B = 0.68$, $p < 0.001$) suggests that digital dependency is a significant liability in modern professional environments.

The identification of attention control as a partial mediator offers a critical psychological insight.

It suggests that individuals with higher levels of addiction suffer from a diminished "attentional filter," making them increasingly susceptible to ambient workplace distractions even when their phone is not in hand. This supports the hypothesis that smartphone addiction effectively "re-wires" the executive functions of the brain, making it difficult to maintain the sustained attention required for complex, high-value tasks.

From an organizational perspective, this research challenges the "tough-on-phones" policies currently prevalent in many sectors. Simply banning devices ignores the psychological reality that the habitual anticipation of digital interaction persists even in the absence of the device. Instead, our findings support the adoption of "Digital Hygiene" interventions. Such policies should focus on:

1. Cognitive Reframing: Training employees to view digital notifications as secondary, not primary, stimuli.
2. Structural Interventions: Implementing "Notification-Free Hours" during which employees are protected from internal communication apps, allowing for "Deep Work" phases that allow for the restoration of attentional control.

3. Self-Regulation Coaching: Using tools like the SAS-SV as a screening instrument during performance reviews, not as a punitive measure, but as a diagnostic to help employees identify if they require support in managing digital stress.

The partial nature of the mediation is equally important. It indicates that productivity loss is also driven by "time displacement"—the literal hours spent on non-work apps—and physiological factors such as disrupted sleep patterns resulting from late-night smartphone use. This underscores that addressing only one factor (attention) is insufficient; organizations must adopt a holistic approach that considers sleep, scheduling, and digital boundaries. These findings provide a necessary empirical foundation for legal and HR departments to craft evidence-based policies that protect both worker productivity and cognitive health.

CONCLUSION

Smartphone addiction is linked to decreased work productivity, with reduced attention control acting as a critical mediating factor. Interventions focused on cognitive management alongside digital regulation are essential for restoring workforce efficiency.

The evidence presented in this study offers a compelling demonstration that smartphone addiction is not merely a behavioral inconvenience, but a significant psychological and professional liability. Through the empirical testing of the relationship between mobile dependency and work productivity, this research has confirmed that higher levels of smartphone addiction act as a robust predictor of professional impairment. Crucially, the discovery that attention control functions as a partial mediator provides a definitive answer to how digital addiction degrades output: it does not simply occupy time, but rather fundamentally erodes the executive functions necessary for sustained cognitive engagement. The

inability to effectively filter out ambient or internal stimuli, exacerbated by habitual digital interaction, leaves the worker in a state of chronic cognitive interference that precludes the "deep work" required for high-level professional responsibilities. These findings have profound implications for the evolving nature of the workplace in the digital age. The fact that attention control only partially mediates the productivity loss suggests that while cognitive deficit is a primary driver, other factors such as physical fatigue and physiological disruption (e.g., poor sleep hygiene) also constitute significant obstacles to efficiency. Consequently, organizational responses must transcend superficial policy changes, such as simple device bans, which often fail to account for the deep-seated psychological habits of the modern workforce. Instead, a more sophisticated, evidence-based approach is required: one that integrates "digital hygiene" into the core of corporate culture.

Ultimately, this study advocates for a paradigm shift—from viewing employees as passive consumers of technology to supporting them as cognitive agents needing structural protection from constant digital stimuli. By fostering environments that prioritize "notification-free" periods and offering cognitive training to rehabilitate attentional capacity, organizations can move toward a more sustainable model of performance. Future research must continue to explore the longitudinal trajectory of these attentional deficits, investigating whether they are reversible upon successful behavior modification. In conclusion, the health of the modern professional depends on our ability to reconcile the necessity of digital connectivity with the biological, cognitive requirements for focused, purposeful, and efficient work.

REFERENCES

Kwon, M., Kim, D. J., Cho, H., & Yang, S. (2013). The smartphone addiction scale: development and validation of a short version for adolescents. *PLoS One*, 8(12), e83558. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083558>

- Duke, É., & Montag, C. (2017). Smartphone addiction, daily interruptions and self-reported productivity. *Addictive Behaviors Reports*, 6, 90–95. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.abrep.2017.07.002>
- Reilly, M. C., Zbrozek, A. S., & Dukes, E. M. (1993). The validity and reproducibility of a work productivity and activity impairment instrument. *Pharmacoeconomics*, 4(5), 353–365. <https://doi.org/10.2165/00019053-199304050-00006>
- von Elm, E., Altman, D. G., Egger, M., et al. (2007). The Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE) statement: guidelines for reporting observational studies. *Annals of Internal Medicine*, 147(8), 573–577. <https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-147-8-200710160-00010>
- Eysenck, M. W., Derakshan, N., Santos, R., & Calvo, M. G. (2007). Anxiety and cognitive performance: Attentional control theory. *Emotion*, 7(2), 336–353. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.7.2.336>
- Fritz, M. S., & MacKinnon, D. P. (2007). Required sample size to detect the mediated effect. *Psychological Methods*, 12(2), 99–118. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1082-989X.12.2.99>
- Ward, A. F., Duke, K., Gneezy, A., & Bos, M. W. (2017). Brain drain: The mere presence of one's own smartphone reduces available cognitive capacity. *Journal of the Association for Consumer Research*, 2(2), 140–154. <https://doi.org/10.1086/691462>
- Montag, C., & Reuter, M. (2017). *Smartphone addiction: A new phenomenon?* Springer International Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51478-9>

9. Rosen, L. D., Carrier, L. M., & Cheever, N. A. (2013). Facebook and texting made me do it: Media-induced task-switching while studying. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 29(3), 948-958. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2012.12.001>

