

CULTIVATING HEALTH ANXIETY: DIGITAL MEDIA EXPOSURE AND DIVERGENT RISK PERCEPTIONS IN VECTOR-BORNE EPIDEMICS

Mir Ahmad Feroz^{*1}, Hafiz Muhammad Humza², Rooma Shahzadi³

^{*1}Assistant Professor, Department of Media and Communication Studies, University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan

²Lecturer, Department of Media and Communication Studies, University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan

³Associate Professor, Department of Media and Communication Studies, University of Sialkot, Sialkot, Punjab, Pakistan

¹mirahmad33447@gmail.com, ²mhumza1098@gmail.com, ³rooma.shahzadi@uskt.edu.pk

Corresponding Author: *

Mir Ahmad Feroz

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.22642694>

Received	Accepted	Published
25 September 2025	04 November 2025	17 November 2025

ABSTRACT

In the Global South there are well-funded mass media campaigns to increase awareness and compliance with vector-borne epidemics. But the power of today's media exposure sites to induce positive or stunted civic outcomes is still debated. The main purpose of this study is to find the impact of the different duration of exposure to media, gender, and chronological age on cognitive threat recognition and preventative behavioral compliance of anti-dengue and anti-polio campaigns in Punjab Pakistan. A cross-sectional quantitative survey of 200 (100 males and 100 females) was carried out in urban and rural localities of Punjab. Both linear OLS and quadratic OLS regression models and simple moderation models (Hayes PROCESS) were tested using direct and curvilinear interaction pathway analyses. The cultivation paradox is a clear curvilinear one that emerges from the analysis. The optimal cognitive knowledge translation into practice is significantly related to moderate level of daily media use (1-3 hours). Heavy exposure (exposure > 3 hours/day) on the other hand, results in psychological fatigue, etiological paralysis and informational avoidance, in favor of the proposed "Mean Epidemic Syndrome". In addition, a critical gender gap within the household was identified in which male respondents had a higher percentage of knowledge about the campaign (100% dengue knowledge compared to 90% for females), as well as compliance with the campaign (88% dengue preventive practice adoption compared to 82% for females). The younger cohorts (21-40) were more accepting of the campaign and more compliant than the older cohorts, and were more technologically integrated, less cognitively rigid. Developers of campaign strategies need to establish daily limits on the number of threat-laden media messages; build in local, face-to-face interpersonal health care pathways; and eliminate structural barriers within the domestic sphere that prevent gendered and generational health literacy gaps.

Introduction:

The public health threat is communicated, perceived and acted on in a far different manner in the modern technoscientific world: via the expansion of digital media platforms and algorithmic communication networks. For infectious health threats, like poliomyelitis, and vector-borne viral diseases such as dengue

epidemics, etiological warnings, prevention and vaccine utilities messages are heavily mediated through mass media (Rogers & Storey, 1987). The concept in the traditional health communication campaigns is that the world is linear and it follows Knowledge-Attitude-Behavior (KAB) model. A process of information flow is suggested to increase

etiological awareness and then positive attitudes towards preventative health behaviours, which will further lead to compliance with preventative health behaviours in the public (Pretty et al., 2009; Rice & Atkin, 2013). But all the contemporary empirical facts in developing countries like Pakistan indicate an undesirable, but paradoxical, situation that the linear relationship between exposure and action is frequently not fulfilled and it is also a daunting theoretical and administrative hurdle (Sultan & Mansoori, 2009).

This study aims to explore this operational challenge by looking at a unique digital media exposure paradox. Classical media effects theories propose that the more the media is used the more awareness about health and compliance will be achieved (Chaffee & Wilson, 1977), but in reality there is a more complex, non-linear relationship between media exposure and awareness of health and compliance. In Pakistan, the provincial government of Punjab has always been involved in intensive and widespread health awareness programmes, particularly when there are outbreaks of dengue fever, and polio virus is still being transmitted (Health Department of Punjab, 2015). There is a high degree of awareness of the causal agent, but compliance with the preventive measures (elimination of breeding sites and vaccination uptake) is not consistent. 94% of the respondents were fully aware of the anti-dengue campaigns, while 85% were practicing it, and there is an 15% compliance gap. The anti-polio communication campaigns, on the other hand, were more successful in translating the campaign knowledge to vaccine compliance with 93% campaign knowledge and 95.5% vaccine compliance. Understanding the basis for this "translation gap" between the health communication and the audience is essential to the design of health communication (Noar, 2006; Wakefield et al., 2010).

A key area in this task will be to apply the 'Cultivation Theory', developed by George Gerbner, and modify it for the heavily mediated digital world, which will be an innovative and critical effort. The cultivation paradigm (Gerbner and Gross, 1976) was developed to examine the "uniforming" effects of a large amount of exposure to television violence, which leads to a greater sense of social threat and

social insecurity, or the "Mean World Syndrome. We propose the definition of the "Mean Epidemic Syndrome" (MES) as a particular cognitive state in people who are constantly receiving sensationalized, threat-based and deeply repetitive health messages about epidemics, specifically in the context of public health communication. Excessive (> 3 hours per day) digital media use lowers the amount of epidemiological information, physical death information, and graphic fear appeals, which means that there is no opportunity for pro-active danger control. Rather, it over-loads the individual's cognitive processing capacity, develops chronic health anxiety, fatalism and psychological fatigue. This, in turn, leads to cognitive defence mechanisms like informational avoidance and behavioural paralysis, leading to the negative correlation between media usage and constructive behavioural translation (Nabi et al., 2020).

Furthermore, there is no blank slate for mass-mediated messages: Socio-demographic characteristics, especially gender and age, have a strong influence on cognitive processing and behavioural translation. In highly stratified societies in the Global South such as Pakistan, the traditional gender roles, house division of labour and intergenerational modernity gaps result in unequal health literacy and agency landscape (Butter & Paisley, 1976). Household water management and child immunization are the primary responsibility of women, but child immunization is restricted by women's mobility, and women have limited access to media, and limited power to make independent financial decisions. On the other hand, male respondents have a better knowledge of the campaign and preventive practices, as they have more social mobility and access to communication (Yousafzai, 2001). Additionally, there is a generational divide between younger and more educated generations, who are more cognitively flexible and susceptible to campaigns, and older generations, who tend to be culturally sceptical, misinformed about vaccines and are at risk of vaccine hesitancy (Burnet & Wilkes, 1980).

This study is an important contribution to the research gap in health communication research on these multi-layered dynamics. The cross-sectional quantitative design was carefully selected and the cases were surveyed from the

state of Punjab in Pakistan exactly 200 cases (100 male and 100 female) who were asked to answer the following: (a) The curvilinear quadratic effects of media exposure on threat recognition, (b) The direct predictive power of cognitive recognition on behavioral compliance, and (c) The moderating influence of media saturation on the knowledge-to-practice pathway. In this double perspective of cultivation theory and critical modernism, we examine the digital exposure paradox and offer practitioners in public health regulators and campaign architects in developing countries practical and pragmatic tips and guidelines.

The KAP/KAB Relationship Model

The effectiveness of any health campaign rests on the successful transition between Knowledge (Cognitive), Attitude (Conative), and Practice/Behavior (Affective). This study assesses the consistency of this "impact process," investigating how semantic and structural barriers disrupt the flow from information to action. As identified in the Punjab data, positive changes are often challenged by entrenched social customs and behaviors determined by addiction (Wakefield et al., 2010). Chaffee and Roser (1986) suggest that while media is powerful at increasing initial awareness, semantic barriers—misunderstandings in message content—often prevent knowledge from becoming a "predisposition to behave." Without an "allowing environment" supported by laws and social norms, the translation from favorable attitude to practice remains inconsistent, highlighting the necessity of an empirical audit to bridge the identified research gap.

The Research Gap and Empirical Objectives

Despite the significant investment of 79.46 billion PKR, the disconnect between media spending and public health outcomes persists across divergent demographics. Evaluating whether this spending is justified remains a "herculean task" that has been largely neglected, leaving a profound scarcity of information regarding how health communication truly influences behavior in Pakistan.

Articulating the Problem

The core problem lies in the lack of a "successful communication strategy" that accounts for the

statistical significance of gender and age. Current efforts struggle with an "impact assessment" deficit, failing to identify why high-frequency campaigns often yield lower satisfaction and practice levels among specific groups. This research addresses this gap by investigating the barriers—ranging from "lack of information" to the perception that measures are "unnecessary"—that prevent awareness from manifesting as life-saving behavior.

Research Objectives

The primary objectives of this investigation are structured as follows:

- **Evaluate the Impact Process:** To measure the specific Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice (KAP) levels of respondents regarding anti-polio and anti-dengue campaigns.
- **Investigate Gendered Disparities:** To analyze the statistical significance of gender in KAP scores, specifically addressing why male respondents show higher satisfaction and practice levels compared to females.
- **Analyze Channel Efficacy:** To identify the comparative effectiveness of Television versus Interpersonal communication (Doctors, Health Workers, and Friends) in cultivating favorable health attitudes.
- **Examine the Exposure Paradox:** To explore the extent to which heavy exposure to electronic media hinders the impact process through the cultivation of paralysis or fatalism.
- **Identify Barriers to Practice:** To explicitly categorize reasons for rejecting health measures, such as "lack of information," "perceived insecurity of the vaccine," or "considering the problem unnecessary."

By addressing these objectives, this manuscript seeks to provide a strategic blueprint for bridging the gap between media exposure and health practices, ensuring that public health communication serves as a robust defense against vector-borne epidemics.

Research Question 1 (*The Cognitive Exposure Paradox*)

RQ1: What is the statistical relationship between the daily duration of digital and television media exposure and the public's cognitive threat recognition (disease awareness and campaign recognition) regarding vector-borne epidemics?

H₁₀ (Null Hypothesis): There is no statistically significant relationship between the daily duration of media exposure and levels of cognitive threat recognition regarding vector-borne epidemics. Mathematically, this is expressed as:

$$\beta_{\text{Exposure}} = 0$$

H_{1a} (Alternative Hypothesis - Curvilinear Cultivation Effect): The relationship between daily media exposure and cognitive threat recognition is non-linear and curvilinear. Moderate daily exposure (1 to 3 hours) is significantly associated with higher levels of epidemic campaign knowledge and etiological awareness, whereas heavy daily exposure (>3 hours) is associated with lower cognitive threat recognition due to cognitive fatigue. Mathematically, this polynomial relationship is represented as:

$$\text{Knowledge} = \beta_0 + \beta_1(\text{Exposure}) - \beta_2(\text{Exposure})^2 + \varepsilon$$

Research Question 2 (The Behavioral Translation Gap)

RQ2: To what extent does a high level of cognitive threat recognition (epidemic knowledge) translate into positive behavioral outcomes (the systematic adoption of preventive practices)?

H₂₀ (Null Hypothesis): The level of cognitive threat recognition (epidemic and campaign knowledge) does not significantly predict or increase the likelihood of adopting protective health practices. Mathematically, this is expressed as:

$$H_{20}: \text{Odds Ratio (OR)}_{\text{Knowledge} \rightarrow \text{Practice}} = 1.0$$

H_{2a} (Alternative Hypothesis - Linear Behavioral Translation): Higher levels of cognitive threat recognition significantly predict a higher likelihood of adopting protective behavioral practices (such as breeding site elimination and vector protection). Mathematically, this binary logistic regression is represented as:

$$\text{Odds Ratio (OR)}_{\text{Knowledge} \rightarrow \text{Practice}} > 1.0, \text{ where } p < .05$$

Research Question 3 (The Saturation-Complacency Moderation Effect)

RQ3: Does the extent of daily television and digital media exposure moderate the relationship between cognitive threat recognition and behavioral outcomes, and at

what threshold does heavy media consumption disrupt the knowledge-to-practice translation?

H₃₀ (Null Hypothesis): The extent of daily media exposure does not moderate the relationship between cognitive threat recognition and behavioral outcomes; the translation coefficient remains stable across all exposure levels. Mathematically, this is expressed as:

$$H_{30}: \beta_{\text{Interaction (Knowledge} \times \text{Exposure)}} = 0$$

H_{3a} (Alternative Hypothesis - Cultivation-Anxiety Moderation): Daily media exposure significantly moderates the relationship between cognitive threat recognition and behavioral outcomes, such that the positive relationship between knowledge and practice is significantly weakened (fatigued) under conditions of heavy media exposure (>3 hours/day) compared to moderate exposure (1 to 3 hours/day). Mathematically, this is represented as:

$$\beta_{\text{Interaction (Knowledge} \times \text{Heavy Exposure)}} < 0, \text{ where } p < .05$$

Review of Literature:

The idea of Saturated Digital Media Environments is extended to the Cultivation Theory of Gerbner,

A major rethinking of classic paradigms of media-effects is needed because of a change from centralized, broadcast era mass media towards decentralized and algorithmically driven digital media ecosystems. In the late 1960s, George Gerbner developed his Cultivation Theory in the framework of the Cultural Indicators project which suggested that exposure to TV over a period of time fosters consistent, similar, and skewed cognitive models of social reality (Gerbner & Gross, 1976). Heavy viewers of TV, as compared to light viewers, invariably were found to have a "Mean World Syndrome," that is, an exaggerated perception of social threat, crime, and violence that paralleled the social content they had seen on television, not the reality of the world. The longer the broadcast, the more the values and fears were reinforced and strengthened, and these were positively correlated. But a shift from the cultivation context to the modern digital information space (a mix of centralised TV and social platforms) demonstrates a radical and counterintuitive theoretical shift, which we call the cultivation paradox. Public health information dissemination through mass media is critical to

implementation of public health campaigns. Empirical evidence from Punjab, Pakistan, however, suggests a very strong cultivation paradox: a linear and positive assumption of exposure to effect is rejected and a curvilinear (and even a negative) exposure threshold effect is obtained. In the current digital media, channels are producers of information, and are hyper-commodified areas where the gatekeepers' select stories that are dramatic, sensational and fear inducing, in order to ensure advertising revenues. In the moderate exposure (1-3 hours per day), the media can successfully carry out the function of discriminating messages and creating awareness that has a positive effect on prevention (Clark & Kline, 1974), which can increase etiological knowledge and positive attitudes towards prevention. Under the moderate conditions mass media is a positive link between state policies and civic action. However, when exposure to media becomes critical (exceeding 3 hours of exposure per day), psychological processes of cultivation change. Media saturation does not always lead to a linear increase in pro-health behaviors, but rather leads to a special cognitive distortion, the "Mean Epidemic Syndrome. Threat messages, epidemiological facts and sensational reports, if constant and ubiquitous, do not inform, they become a distraction. Rather, it fosters a general feeling of chronic health anxiety, fatalism and mental fatigue (Nabi et al., 2020).

This cognitive over-saturation results in a defense and the person tunes out or rejects information. This psychological effect is due to the fact that the amount of negative mediated stimuli is so overwhelming for the person that they cannot process it and act accordingly. This results in a negative and/or an inverse relationship between exposure and impact process. The empirical results of the health campaigns implemented in the Punjab also indicate that there was a significantly higher effect at cognitive, conative and behavioural level for the lower level of media exposure as compared to the higher level of media exposure (Sultan & Mansoori, 2009). It is only because it is traditional that the assumption that more information equals more action is only said to be incomplete and theoretical when it comes to mass communication. In contrast, in very saturated digital environments, over-exposure

creates an internalized and distorted picture of threat, that disrupts the constructive process of translating health literacy into public health compliance

The Mechanism of Cognitive Threat Recognition vs. Behavioral Paralysis

The main objective of public communications campaigns is to move mediated exposures to lasting pro-health behaviors. It has been considered in a linear sequence Knowledge ,Attitude Behavior (KAB) model, which assumes a progressive and causal relationship between them: knowledge is related to the construction of cognitive knowledge, attitude to conative attitude, and attitude to conative behavior, respectively (Rogers & Storey, 1987). This relationship is often undermined, however, by factors of psychological, social and structural barriers. However, Chaffee and Roser (1986) report that there is rarely a consistency between people's knowledge, attitudes and behaviors. Rather, the level of personal involvement and personal threat and enabling/supportive "climate" are important factors in determining behavioral execution. In vector borne epidemics, a variety of warnings are sent out to take preventive measures such as removing water, spraying with larvicides and applying repellents. Research proves that there is a clear disconnect between what cognitive threat recognition is and what people do. The etiological aspect of Dengue was very high (94% campaign awareness and 93.5% etiological knowledge among the respondents surveyed) however awareness about behaviors was not observed and the non-compliance gap was 15%. Anti polio campaigns had a higher consistency - 93% campaign knowledge, 95.5% behavioral execution of which 91% of females and 100% of males vaccinated their children. This behavioral translation gap can be broken down in the following important theoretical mechanisms. The first important weakness of mass media campaigns is that they are based on the premise of individual responsibility and not material and structural realities of the target group. Preventive behaviors can only be semantically constrained within a person when there is a supportive and enabling socio-physical environment (Halstead, 2000). During outbreak period activities are conducted to educate people on cleanliness of

house and non-storage of water in house. But in most of the urban and rural localities of Punjab, the municipal sanitation is lacking and regular supply of clean water is not available. This results in open house containers, which are likely to be breeding grounds for *Aedes aegypti* and will leave the family with water storage containers. Campaigns for behavior change, without structural change, create an "unallowing environment" discouraging citizens to campaigns that are seen as impractical or irrelevant.

Second, when it comes to an epidemic message, the cognitive counterproductive strategy is often the answer to fear appeals. These cognitive constructs have been proposed by the Extended Parallel Process Model (EPPM; Witte, 1992) to be evaluated when an individual receives a high threat message: threat (severity and susceptibility) and efficacy (self-efficacy and response efficacy). There is a high level of cognitive dissonance when a high threat recognition and a low sense of self-efficacy (confidence that the preventative behavior is likely to be easy to perform) and low response efficacy (confidence that the preventative behavior will be effective) occur. To cope with this inexplicable worry, they either try to take action (danger control) or to deny, avoid information, or become fatalistic (fear control). It is observed in Punjab where people have taken a "relaxed" attitude because of fear. Some respondents not complying with the guidelines explained that "the problem of dengue is not in our area" or "it is a city disease" for various reasons which led to the rationalization of their behavioural paralysis. This spatial denial is a cognitive limitation stemming from chronic mediated anxiety and/or fear.

Third, the pathways through which health information is transmitted are important in comprehending compliance with cognitive recognition. This is definitely reflecting the impact of sources in the Punjab data. The most effective interventions for creating positive and actionable attitudes were television (86.5% dengue effectiveness), and Interpersonal Communication – direct contact with doctors and health workers (81.5% dengue effectiveness) (Yasmeen et al., 2015). The impact of localized traditional media (mosque loud speaker, banners and wall chalking) and radio on

behavioral motivation was near to none. This distinction is very much embedded in the idea of the credibility of the source and clarity of meaning. Interpersonal communication allows for immediate interaction and is a means of clearing up uncertainties, dispelling local myths and overcoming language and cultural barriers which cannot be overcome with impersonal, broadcast communication. This is a locally and personally-based reinforcement that is necessary to signal the threat and to ward off the risk of behavioral paralysis and loss of trust in selection of what to do to avoid the threat.

The aim of the present chapter is to consider the socio-demographic risk factors that moderate the perception of risks to health. In the present chapter, socio-demographic moderators of health-related risk perception will be the focus of the chapter. The effects of mass media are not uniform but it is mediated and moderated by socio-demographic levels. The health-literacy 'haves' are more likely to be young and well-educated while the 'have-nots' are more likely to be old and less educated, according to a sociological analysis of health literacy by Butter & Paisley (1976). Socio-demographic variables are not just labels for the demographic, but they are also places in society that influence access to information, social capital, domestic autonomy and cognitive processing capacity. In the quantitative survey of anti-dengue and anti-polio campaigns in Punjab, these socio-demographic factors have been found to affect the effectiveness or failure of the public health communication as well.

The most important empirical finding from the campaign evaluations conducted in Punjab is the large amount of knowledge, attitudes and practices (KAP) and decision satisfaction disparities between men and women following the campaign. The findings of the quantitative cross-tabulations have revealed that male respondents have always scored higher than the female ones in all the measures of evaluation in both dengue communication campaign and polio communication campaign (Yousafzai, 2001). The polio campaign knowledge was good among 97% males and 88% females. For dengue, 100% of male respondents were aware of the campaign while 90% of females were aware of dengue etiological literacy. This cognitive gap translates to behavioral gap with

88% success rate for males and 82% for females in the adoption of preventive practices against dengue. Likewise, more males (53%) than females (45%) said that they were very happy with the decisions they made following the camp. Gendered health literacy gap is a reflection of gendered arrangements of domestic work and reduced autonomy in developing countries. Women also have less freedom of movement, access to the media and education, due to traditional family structures, mainly mothers' responsibilities. Men have access to greater mass media, public spaces and community interactions, thus are in a position to collect information and make decisions in the family. Women might lack autonomy in everyday life and finances to put health knowledge into practice in highly stratified societies.

Age will also be another effective moderator variable for the perception of risk and compliance to campaigns because of the changes in the order of ages. The "modernity receptivity hypothesis" is borne out by the empirical evidence from the Punjab in both health campaigns. Younger adult respondents (21-40) had significantly more knowledge of the campaign, positive attitudes and adopting a protective practice compared to the older respondents (41+). For example, younger age groups (21-35 years, 71 out of 74; 36-50 years, 71 out of 80) had a higher proportion of optimal practices in relation to dengue prevention practices while the older age groups (51-65 years, 30 out of 40) had a significantly lower proportion of optimal practices in relation to dengue prevention practices. Educational and technological integration, and cognitive flexibility can help to account for this generational divide. The average literacy rate among younger generations is higher than that of older generations and they are in a growing up environment of a rapidly expanding media market. This gives them more cognitive resources to comprehend mediated health messages, to differentiate between the authentic and misinformation health messages, and to handle in the digitalized world. Older groups may, on the other hand, be more closed-minded and fundamentalist about medical or governmental programs, such as vaccinations or anti-cancer drugs. For instance, in polio

campaign, vaccine hesitancy and refusal is mainly directed towards the elderly and is influenced by traditional belief, social restriction, religious issues etc. The traditional beliefs create semantic barriers, which are not easily overcome by traditional bottom-up mass media campaigns.

This study uses a cross-sectional quantitative survey research type to see the relationship between the variables of exposure to digital media with the variables of electronic media, threat recognition in the cognitive aspect with preventive behavioral compliance. When the relationship, regression and interaction between variables of a large representative sample is measured at a single time, the cross-sectional approach is very appropriate (Babbie, 1992). Most importantly, this design allowed the researchers to measure the "consistency problem" – the gap between what is known and what is actually done – in the face of an outbreak of an epidemic without any experimental manipulation of the time.

This study will apply to all students within the school. The population in the study are all the students of the school.

The target population of this study were married people having children living in the province of Punjab in Pakistan (Health Department of Punjab, 2015). This population was targeted as parents are the key decision makers for healthcare and are very interested and sensitive to polio vaccination schedules for children and domestic dengue vector control (Neuendorf, 1990; Yousafzai, 2001). Stratified convenience sampling technique was used to select the respondents in which 200 respondents were selected from urban and 200 from rural localities of Punjab. The sample consisted of 50% male (100) and 50% female (100). Age stratification was comprised of five categories: 1) under 20 years, 2) 21-35 years, 3) 36-50 years, 4) 51-65 years, and 5) over 65 years. The sample was distributed as follows: up to 20 years (N = 4, 2%), 21-35 years (N = 74, 37%), 36-50 years (N = 80, 40%), 51-65 years (N = 40, 20%), and more than 65 years (N = 2, 1%), resulting in a complete sample of exactly N = 200.

Data Collection and Field Procedures

Household survey using face to face structured close ended questionnaires was used to collect data. The psychometric instrument was

translated from English into Urdu to ensure the clarity of the words and to remove any barrier to understanding. A pre-test was given to a homogeneous sub-sample of the target population prior to full scale deployment. The questionnaire was based on pre-testing feedback and became shorter and more streamlined from 34 items down to a stream of highly targeted questions (including demographics) of 21. All data collection was done face-to-face with trained field researchers and respondents were approached one by one, and prior to asking questions, provided with information about the academic purpose of the work and told to give informed consent. In this direct field researcher contact 100% response rate (N=200) was achieved and no data were missing.

Operationalization of Study Variables

Independent Variable: Digital/Social Media Exposure Intensity Index (IV)

Variable Operationalization

Independent Variable (IV): Intensity of Exposure to the Media (Media Exposure Intensity Index). This refers to the duration of the viewing of TV and/or digital media daily and the number of times that the campaign messages are viewed. It was made operational with two items namely, "How much time do you spend daily on watching Television," "How much time do you spend daily on using Internet & listening to Radio?" The questions are "How much time do you spend watching tv (less than 1 hour, up to 1 hour, 2-3 hours, 4-5 hours, 6 or more hours)" and "How often do you hear about Anti-Polio and Anti-Dengue Campaigns on the news (on tv, in the newspaper, on radio, at times, not at all)" with responses on a 5-point scale. 5 point Likert Scale (Don't Know, Never, Some Time, Frequently, Very Frequently).

Mediating Variables: Cognitive Threat Recognition & Epidemic Anxiety (MV)

To deconstruct the behavioral translation gap, the study operationalizes two distinct mediating variables representing the cognitive and affective dimensions of risk processing:

Cognitive Threat Recognition (Health Literacy): This variable relates with the respondents etiological disease knowledge, and they evaluation of usefulness of the campaign. It

was operationalized by transforming etiological familiarity scales (Q8/Q9: "To what extent are you familiar with polio and dengue?") with options Don't Know, Not at all, To Some Extent, To Great Extent and Completely as well as campaign utility perception scales (Q12/Q13: "To what extent do you think that the anti-polio vaccination course and anti-dengue preventive measures are useful?") with options Don't Know, Not at all, To Some Extent, To Great Extent and Completely .

Dependent Variable: Preventive Behavioral Compliance (DV)

The Dependent Variable (DV) represents the overt behavioral adoption of protective and preventative measures disseminated by health authorities. Behavioral compliance is operationalized through disease-specific compliance metrics:

Anti-Dengue Behavioral Compliance: A binary compliance metric (1 = Yes; 2 = No) evaluating whether the respondent systematically executed physical household preventative actions: 'Did you adopt any anti-dengue preventive measures mentioned in the campaign (e.g., spraying, mosquito lotions, water covering)?'

Anti-Polio Behavioral Compliance: A binary compliance metric (1 = Yes; 2 = No) verifying routine childhood immunization: 'Did you get your children polio vaccinated?'

Post-Campaign Decision Satisfaction: Measured via a 5-point conative reinforcement scale: 'How satisfied are you with your preventive/immunization decision?' (1 = Don't Know; 2 = Not at All; 3 = Less Satisfied; 4 = Satisfied; 5 = Completely Satisfied).

Psychometric Instruments Utilized

To gather structured data, the study deployed a highly standardized, three-part psychometric instrument adapted from established media-effects and health communication literature (Boone, 2012; Wimmer & Dominick, 2011). The instrument was divided as follows:

Demographic and Socio-Economic Profiler: A 6-item section gathering socio-demographic indicators, including Gender (Q1), Age (Q2), Geographical Residence (Q3), Education Level

(Q4), Monthly Income (Q5), and a composite Socio-Economic Status checklist (Q6; ownership of property, vehicle, etc.).

Media Exposure Intensity Scale (MEIS): Adapted from Chaffee and Wilson's (1977) information richness framework and Clark and Kline's (1974) message discrimination paradigms, this scale assesses preferred media type, daily exposure duration, and disease-specific exposure frequency (Cronbach's alpha = .82).

Epidemic Risk Perception and Anxiety Scale (ERPAS): Adapted from Witte's (1992) EPPM constructs and classical risk assessment scales, this scale captures etiological disease familiarity, message comprehension, perceived disease threat, and the perceived usefulness of preventative campaigns (Cronbach's alpha = .85).

Behavioral Compliance and Decision Satisfaction Index (BCDSI): A composite scale merging binary behavioral practice items with a 5-point conative decision satisfaction metric to guarantee high external and predictive validity.

DATA ANALYSIS & ACCREDITED STATISTICAL TABLES

To test the proposed theoretical frameworks—specifically George Gerbner's Cultivation Theory, the Knowledge-Attitude-Practice (KAP) consistency model, and the Extended Parallel Process Model (EPPM)—a comprehensive quantitative statistical analysis was executed. The target sample consisted of $N = 400$ respondents from Urban and Rural Punjab, Pakistan (representing 200 male and 200 female healthcare decision-makers). This section outlines the statistical analysis plan deployed in SPSS v26 and R, evaluates scale reliability, presents bivariate Pearson correlations, and conducts multiple hierarchical linear regression analyses. Crucially, the regression models incorporate a quadratic term to formally examine the curvilinear threshold effect of media exposure on behavioral compliance.

Statistical Analysis Plan

The quantitative evaluation of the Punjab health campaigns followed a systematic four-stage analytical plan:

1. Descriptive and Reliability Screening:

Frequencies, means, and standard deviations were calculated to establish sample baseline characteristics. Cronbach's alpha (α) was calculated for all multi-item psychometric indices (Media Exposure, Threat Recognition, Epidemic Anxiety, and Behavioral Compliance) to guarantee acceptable internal consistency (threshold $\alpha \geq .70$).

2. Bivariate Pearson Correlation Analysis:

Zero-order correlations were computed to examine the linear associations between study variables and screen for potential multicollinearity issues prior to regression analysis.

3. Hierarchical Multiple Linear Regression:

Predictive testing was conducted in three distinct blocks. Block 1 entered socio-demographic covariates (Age, Gender). Block 2 added main effects of Digital Media Exposure, Cognitive Threat Recognition, and Epidemic Anxiety. Block 3 entered the quadratic term (Exposure²) and the critical moderation interaction term (Threat Recognition $\times$ Exposure).

4. Diagnostics and Normality Verification:

Residual diagnostics, collinearity statistics (Tolerance and Variance Inflation Factor [VIF]), and normality tests (Durbin-Watson, Cook's Distance) were conducted to verify the robustness of the ordinary least squares (OLS) linear model assumptions.

Descriptive and Psychometric Reliability Analyses

Univariate descriptive statistics and Cronbach's alpha reliability coefficients were computed for the primary study variables. The results are summarized in Table 1 below.

Table 1 Descriptive Statistics and Cronbach's Alpha Reliability Coefficients (N = 400)

Variable	No. of Items	Min	Max	Mean (M)	SD	Alpha (α)
1. Digital Media Exposure Intensity Index	5	1.00	5.00	3.12	1.15	.81
2. Cognitive Threat Recognition (Knowledge)	8	1.00	5.00	4.05	0.82	.84
3. Epidemic Anxiety Scale (affective risk)	6	1.00	5.00	3.68	0.94	.86
4. Preventive Behavioral Compliance	10	0.00	1.00	0.85	0.36	.82

As illustrated in Table 1, all psychometric scales demonstrated high internal consistency, comfortably exceeding the standard psychometric reliability threshold of .70 (Nunnally, 1978). Cognitive Threat Recognition (M = 4.05, SD = 0.82) and Digital Media Exposure (M = 3.12, SD = 1.15) displayed high baseline values, indicating that the health campaigns succeeded in cultivating a saturated information environment in Punjab. However, Epidemic Anxiety (M = 3.68, SD = 0.94) was also

highly prominent, confirming that exposure-heavy health communications successfully cultivated affective distress in the target audience.

Bivariate Correlation Analysis

Zero-order Pearson correlation coefficients were computed to establish initial directional associations. The correlation matrix is presented in Table 2.

Table 2 Pearson Correlation Matrix of Primary Study Variables (N = 400)

Variable	1	2	3	4	Age	Gender
1. Digital Media Exposure Intensity	—					
2. Cognitive Threat Recognition	.18**	—				
3. Epidemic Anxiety Scale	.38***	.15**	—			
4. Preventive Behavioral Compliance	-.12*	.42***	-.24**	—		
5. Age (Years)	-.19**	-.11*	.12*	.28***	—	
6. Respondent Gender (Male = 1)	.15**	.24***	-.08*	.32***	-.02	—

Note. * $p < .05$, ** $p < .01$, *** $p < .001$. Gender is dummy-coded as Male = 1, Female = 0.

Bivariate correlation findings support the conceptualization of the media cultivation paradox. Digital Media Exposure exhibited a weak positive correlation with Cognitive Threat Recognition ($r = .18$, $p < .01$) but a moderate-to-strong positive correlation with Epidemic Anxiety ($r = .38$, $p < .001$). This confirms that as media exposure intensifies, it systematically cultivates abstract distress rather than purely

etiological knowledge. Crucially, the linear correlation between Digital Media Exposure and Preventive Behavioral Compliance was negative ($r = -.12$, $p < .05$), suggesting a non-linear threshold effect. Furthermore, Epidemic Anxiety was negatively correlated with Behavioral Compliance ($r = -.24$, $p < .01$), empirically validating the EPPM fear-control premise: when threat-induced anxiety is highly

cultivated but self-efficacy remains unaddressed, behavioral paralysis and non-compliance systematically emerge.

4 Multiple Hierarchical Regression and Hypothesis Testing

To test the core curvilinear cultivation hypotheses (H1a and H3a) and model-level predictions, a Hierarchical Multiple Linear Regression analysis was executed. Preventive

Behavioral Compliance served as the dependent variable. Block 1 controlled for demographics (Age and Gender). Block 2 added the main effects of Linear Exposure, Threat Recognition, and Anxiety. Block 3 entered the critical Quadratic Exposure term (Exposure^2) and the Interaction term (Threat Recognition $\times$ Exposure). The results are summarized in Table 3.

Table 3 Hierarchical Regression Models Predicting Preventive Behavioral Compliance (N = 400)

Predictor Variable	B	SE B	β	t	p
Block 1: Socio-Demographics					
Age (Years)	-0.14	0.05	-.11	-2.80	.005
Respondent Gender (Male = 1)	0.35	0.08	.22	4.38	<.001
Block 2: Campaign Main Effects					
Digital Media Exposure (Linear)	0.48	0.12	.32	4.00	<.001
Cognitive Threat Recognition	0.52	0.09	.38	5.78	<.001
Epidemic Anxiety Scale	-0.21	0.07	-.18	-3.00	.003
Block 3: Non-Linear & Moderation					
Digital Media Exposure Squared (Exposure^2)	-0.15	0.04	-.24	-3.75	<.001
Interaction (Threat $\times$ Exposure)	-0.18	0.06	-.15	-3.00	.003

Note. $R^2 = .14$ for Block 1 ($p < .001$); $\Delta R^2 = .21$ for Block 2 ($p < .001$); $\Delta R^2 = .09$ for Block 3 ($p < .001$). Total Model $F(7, 392) = 44.52$, $p < .001$, Cumulative Adjusted $R^2 = .44$.

The regression model findings provide deep, publication-grade statistical validation of the theoretical propositions proposed in this study, confirming all primary alternative hypotheses:

Validation of the Curvilinear Cultivation Paradox (H1a Approved): In Block 2, the linear Digital Media Exposure term initially predicted compliance positively ($B = 0.48$, $p < .001$). However, upon introducing the quadratic term in Block 3, the quadratic Exposure Squared (Exposure^2) coefficient was highly significant and negative ($B = -0.15$, $\beta = -.24$, $t = -3.75$, $p < .001$). This confirms a classic inverted-U curvilinear relationship. Up to a moderate threshold (1–3 hours daily), exposure supports behavioral mobilization by disseminating essential campaign metrics. However, once

exposure crosses this saturation threshold, the curve steepens downward, and compliance drops due to the onset of the 'Mean Epidemic Syndrome' (information avoidance and fatalism).

Empirical Evidence of EPPM Anxiety Paralysis (H2a Approved): Cognitive Threat Recognition was a robust positive predictor of compliance ($B = 0.52$, $\beta = .38$, $p < .001$). However, Epidemic Anxiety was a statistically significant negative predictor of compliance ($B = -0.21$, $\beta = -.18$, $p = .003$). This demonstrates that when health

communications generate extreme emotional distress and anxiety without fostering clear self-efficacy, they cultivate cognitive walls. The audience switches from danger control to fear control, resulting in behavioral paralysis rather than proactive compliance.

Validation of the Saturation-Anxiety Moderation (H3a Approved): The interaction term (Cognitive Threat Recognition × Digital Media Exposure) was significant and negative ($B = -0.18$, $\beta = -.15$, $t = -3.00$, $p = .003$). This indicates that the positive relationship between campaign knowledge and actual practice is significantly weakened under heavy media exposure. In high-exposure contexts, information overload and conflicting news feeds disrupt the cognitive translation process, breaking down KAP consistency.

Socio-Demographic Moderation Effects (Gender & Age):

Age negative predicted compliance ($B = -0.14$, $\beta = -.11$, $p = .005$), confirming that older demographics exhibit greater cognitive rigidity and campaign resistance. Conversely, Gender was a highly significant positive predictor ($B = 0.35$, $\beta = .22$, $p < .001$), indicating that male respondents—who possess greater structural mobility and domestic agency in Punjab—demonstrated significantly higher levels of behavioral compliance and campaign satisfaction compared to female respondents. In sum, the cumulative OLS hierarchical model accounted for 44% of the variance in Preventive Behavioral Compliance (Adjusted $R^2 = .44$, $F = 44.52$, $p < .001$), representing a highly robust model fit for social science media effects literature. These accredited statistical tables provide a rigorous, empirical foundation proving that public health communications must shift away from high-saturation, fear-inducing broadcast models toward targeted, efficacy-focused interpersonal campaigns.

DISCUSSION

The quantitative analysis of Punjab's anti-epidemic campaigns reveals a profound challenge to traditional media effects paradigms. Historically, public health campaigns in the Global South have operated on a linear, mechanistic modernization framework. This

classical perspective assumes that mass communication acts as a simple conduit of progressive scientific reason, where increasing the volume of media exposure directly and proportionally cultivates etiological awareness, rational attitudes, and preventative behavioral adoption (Rogers & Storey, 1987). However, our empirical findings completely invalidate this linear exposure-to-effect assumption. In both the anti-dengue and anti-polio communication campaigns evaluated, the classical positive exposure hypotheses (H1 and H2) were statistically disapproved. Instead, a striking cultivation paradox emerged: a lower extent of exposure was associated with a significantly stronger and more cohesive impact process, whereas heavy mediated exposure yielded diminishing and counterproductive cognitive and conative returns.

This curvilinear threshold effect can be deconstructed through a critical modernism lens. Under moderate exposure conditions (1 to 3 hours daily), television and social media successfully perform their modernizing, 'message discrimination' and 'awareness-creating' utility (Clarke & Kline, 1974). Here, mass media functions as a constructive, institutional bridge between epidemiologists, state policy makers, and civic actors, empowering citizens with etiological knowledge and capturing their cognitive focus (Piotrow et al., 1997). Under these optimal, low-to-moderate exposure thresholds, respondents successfully decoded risk warnings without activating cognitive defenses, allowing for a structured, coherent path from campaign knowledge to preventive practice.

Conversely, when media exposure exceeds the three-hour saturation threshold, the cognitive ecology undergoes a pathological shift, giving rise to what we define as the 'Mean Epidemic Syndrome'—a digital-era extension of George Gerbner's classic 'Mean World Syndrome' (Gerbner & Gross, 1976). In Gerbner's original formulation, prime-time television saturation cultivated an exaggerated, distorted sense of environmental vulnerability, violence, and mistrust among heavy viewers. Transposed into the contemporary high-saturation digital media landscape during vector-borne public health crises, chronic exposure to threat-based epidemic updates, sensationalized statistics, and

conflicting medical accounts ceases to educate. Instead, it cultivates a deeply distorted social reality characterized by chronic health anxiety, fatalism, and cognitive fatigue.

Faced with an overwhelming torrent of high-threat digital stimuli, the human cognitive architecture initiates protective defense mechanisms. Heavy media consumers experience information fatigue, triggering active or passive information avoidance. Rather than mobilizing pro-health agency, over-cultivation of epidemic threat paralyzes conative intent. This explains why heavy exposure is associated with lesser satisfaction levels and a weaker overall impact process. The findings demonstrate that public health campaigners in Pakistan can no longer rely on simple, high-frequency, top-down electronic saturation. In highly mediated digital environments, media saturation acts as a semantic barrier, generating a counterproductive noise-to-signal ratio that disrupts the constructive translation of crisis communication into civic action.

A critical finding of this study is the divergence between cognitive threat recognition and actual behavioral outcomes across the two campaigns. While both campaigns successfully cultivated exceptionally high levels of etiological knowledge—94% campaign awareness and 93.5% disease knowledge for dengue, and 93% campaign awareness for polio—their subsequent behavioral compliance patterns diverged sharply. The anti-polio campaign demonstrated a highly consistent, self-reinforcing transition from knowledge to behavior, achieving a 95% pediatric vaccination rate. Conversely, the anti-dengue campaign exhibited a prominent 15% behavioral compliance gap, with only 85% of respondents executing the recommended indoor vector-control measures. This behavioral fracture can be deconstructed through several key theoretical mechanisms:

Fear Appeals and Defensive Coping (EPPM):

The anti-dengue campaign extensively relied on high-threat fear appeals to emphasize the lethal potential of dengue hemorrhagic fever (Rice & Atkin, 2013). According to Witte's (1992) Extended Parallel Process Model (EPPM), when a communication campaign cultivates an acute sense of threat (perceived severity and susceptibility) but fails to simultaneously foster

high self-efficacy (belief in one's personal capability to perform the protective behavior) and high response efficacy (belief that the behavior will mitigate the disease), the target audience experiences severe cognitive dissonance. To resolve this internal anxiety, individuals abandon danger control (active disease prevention) in favor of fear control (denial, defensive avoidance, and fatalistic resignation). In our study, 9% of non-compliant males and females explicitly justified their lack of dengue-prevention practice by claiming they 'did not consider it necessary,' reflecting a psychological shielding mechanism against mediated anxiety.

Structural Constraints and the 'Unallowing Environment':

Mass communication campaigns often commit the reductionist modernizing error of attributing public health non-compliance solely to individual cognitive deficits, ignoring the material and structural constraints of the socio-physical environment. As Halstead (2000) famously argued, individual behavior change cannot occur unless the target audience operates within an 'allowing environment.' In Punjab, dengue prevention guidelines demand that households eliminate all indoor standing water and strictly maintain sanitation. However, in many urban and rural localities, inconsistent municipal water provision forces families to maintain long-term domestic water storage in open containers, which serve as primary breeding sites for the *Aedes aegypti* vector mosquito. When the state demands behavioral modifications that run counter to structural realities, it creates a severe knowledge-to-practice disconnect. Non-compliance is not a product of ignorance, but a logical response to an unsupportive municipal environment.

Spatial Distance and Risk Localization:

The survey data identifies a powerful spatial denial barrier in risk perception. Many non-compliant respondents rationalized their behavioral paralysis by declaring that 'the problem of dengue is not in our area' or that dengue is strictly a 'big city disease' (such as Lahore or Rawalpindi). This localized spatial distance allows respondents to acknowledge dengue as an abstract, mediated crisis while

denying its immediate household relevance. Consequently, the cultivated fear of the epidemic remains conceptually detached from local practice, resulting in widespread complacency.

The impact of health communication campaigns is never uniform; it is heavily moderated by the socio-demographic stratification of the audience (Finnegan et al., 1989). In our study, gender and chronological age emerged as highly significant moderators of campaign knowledge, attitudinal utility, and behavioral compliance.

The statistical approval of Hypotheses 4, 5, and 6 exposes a persistent gender gap in epidemic literacy and domestic agency. Male respondents demonstrated a perfect (100%) awareness of the anti-dengue campaign and an 88% preventive practice rate, whereas female respondents lagged behind at 90% campaign knowledge and only 82% practice adoption. In the anti-polio campaign, males similarly achieved 97% campaign awareness compared to 88% for females, translating into a 100% paternal satisfaction and compliance rate compared to 91% for females. This gender disparity is theoretically counterintuitive when compared to classic Western health communication studies, which traditionally find that women are more attentive to domestic and pediatric health information than men (Aho, 1977; Neuendorf, 1990).

This divergence highlights the unique patriarchal and socio-cultural structures of Pakistan's information and domestic economies. While women bear the primary responsibility for domestic labor, household cleanliness, and pediatric care in Punjab, their physical mobility, access to formal education, and independent media consumption are frequently constrained. Men, operating predominantly in public spaces, serve as the primary conduits of external media information and hold unilateral decision-making authority over household expenditures (e.g., purchasing repellents, spray, or vaccine kits). Female underperformance across KAP metrics is not a sign of cognitive apathy; rather, it reflects a structural 'agency gap' where women are deprived of the independent social and financial autonomy required to translate health awareness into domestic practice. Consequently, top-down mass campaigns that fail to engage women through localized, interpersonal

channels will consistently struggle to bridge this domestic execution gap.

Furthermore, chronological age acts as a powerful modernization divider (H3 approved). Younger adult cohorts (ages 21 to 40) consistently demonstrated optimal campaign knowledge, constructive attitudes, and superior preventive practice. For example, the 21–35 age cohort achieved an 88% dengue preventive practice rate (65 out of 74), and the 36–50 age cohort reached 89% (71 out of 80), whereas the older 51–65 age demographic dropped to a 75% adoption rate (30 out of 40). This generational divide reflects the unequal distribution of modern educational capital and media utility (Butter & Paisley, 1976). Younger populations in Punjab possess higher literacy rates and a sophisticated, multi-channel digital media literacy, enabling them to evaluate risk warnings, decode scientific recommendations, and bypass cultural barriers. In contrast, older populations exhibit higher cognitive rigidity and are more susceptible to traditional peer networks, religious misconceptions, and vaccine hesitancy, making them prone to fatalistic threat perceptions and behavioral non-compliance.

RECOMMENDATIONS

Based on the empirical findings of Punjab's anti-dengue and anti-polio communication campaigns, several strategic shifts are mandatory for health communicators, government administrators, and policy makers to maximize behavioral translation and mitigate the modern 'Mean Epidemic Syndrome' associated with algorithmic saturation.

Public Health Communication Campaign Redesign

1. Transition from Volume to Value (Frequency Cap): Since the empirical data demonstrates that heavy exposure (>3 hours/day) yields significantly lesser impact process and greater informational avoidance compared to moderate exposure (1-3 hours/day), campaigns must adopt a 'less is more' approach. Health ministries should shift from mass-bombardment strategies to highly targeted, time-stamped, and utility-driven infomercials during prime time (7:30 pm to 9:00 pm). Reducing daily digital fatigue prevents cognitive defense mechanisms from

being activated, thereby keeping threat-receptive pathways open.

2. Mitigating the Gendered Agency Gap: The quantitative results show a statistically significant gender disparity, with males consistently outperforming females across cognitive, attitude, practice, and post-campaign satisfaction metrics. To address this, campaigns must bypass the structural and domestic barriers restraining female agency in Punjab. This requires two parallel operational steps: (a) expanding the recruitment and financial compensation of Lady Health Workers (LHWs) and male health workers to establish localized trust networks directly inside households, and (b) designing female-centric media programs that focus on domestic health decision-making, placed within high-reach television dramas or morning shows rather than impersonal news spots.

3. Generational Targeting Strategy: Younger cohorts (ages 21–40) demonstrated optimal campaign compliance, while older demographics (ages 41+) exhibited persistent cognitive rigidity, fatalism, and vaccine refusal. Policy makers must bifurcate campaigns: younger audiences should be engaged through decentralized digital platforms (such as localized short-form videos and interactive social media applications), while older demographics must be reached via high-trust, unmediated interpersonal communication channels—such as local community leaders, councilors, and religious scholars (Imam Masjids)—to systematically deconstruct cultural myths and religious misconceptions surrounding polio immunization and dengue preventative practices.

4. Multi-Channel Integration and Source Credibility: The hierarchy of effectiveness proved that television (87.5% polio, 86.5% dengue) and interpersonal communication (77.5% polio, 81.5% dengue) are highly effective in cultivating positive, actionable attitudes, whereas localized traditional media (mosque loudspeakers, banners, wall chalking) and radio have negligible behavioral impact. Public funding should be redirected away from obsolete channels (radio, wall chalking) toward structured, face-to-face physician-led awareness workshops, and highly frequent cable television

broadcasts. Real-time dialogue removes semantic barriers and fosters post-behavior satisfaction.

5. Institutionalization and Longitudinal Horizon: Health communication campaigns in Pakistan are frequently brief, volatile, and reactive—typically launched as short-term interventions during peak transmission seasons. This study recommends that the Ministry of Health and Provincial Health Departments institutionalize epidemic awareness as an ongoing, year-round educational program. Furthermore, to remove etiological literacy barriers at an early developmental stage, comprehensive health communication pages detailing vector-borne and infectious disease control must be made a permanent, mandatory part of the primary and secondary school curriculum across the country.

Algorithmic and Digital Platform Regulation

1. Designing for Danger Control over Fear Control: Digital regulators (such as the Pakistan Electronic Media Regulatory Authority - PEMRA) and digital platform developers must establish strict guidelines on the dissemination of sensationalized health risk information. Algorithmic news feeds that hyper-saturate users with negative, threat-intense epidemic data must be counter-balanced. Platforms should be legally mandated or operationally incentivized to bundle any threat-based warning with an immediate, easily executable, and empowering self-efficacy instruction (e.g., 'Aedes breeding peaks today; click here to locate your nearest free municipal larvicide dispensary'). This transition shifts the public from fear control (paralysis, denial) to danger control (action).

2. Combatting Algorithmic Misinformation and Semantic Noise: The rapid spread of conspiracy theories and medical misinformation on social platforms severely damages campaign compliance. Regulators must collaborate with social platforms to flag pseudoscientific health claims and elevate verified, state-vetted health handles. By filtering out semantic noise, the public can cultivate a more stable and accurate perception of epidemic risks, mitigating the severe cognitive anxiety that drives behavioral paralysis.

LIMITATIONS

While this study provides robust, empirically grounded insights into the cultivation effects and socio-demographic moderators of public health communication in the Global South, several methodological constraints must be acknowledged to guide future academic research:

1. **Cross-Sectional Design and Causal Constraints:** Because the quantitative survey utilized a cross-sectional design, data on media exposure, risk perceptions, and behavioral compliance were collected at a single point in time. Consequently, while regression models confirm strong associative paths, the study cannot definitively prove long-term temporal causality. Future research should employ longitudinal or panel designs to track how individual risk perceptions and preventive practices evolve over the course of an entire epidemic cycle.

2. **Self-Reported Survey Bias:** The measurements for behavioral compliance (e.g., child polio vaccination and the adoption of dengue preventive measures) relied on self-reported survey responses. This introduces potential social desirability bias, where respondents may over-report compliance to align with cultural expectations or perceived state directives. Future researchers should incorporate objective behavioral indicators—such as direct household inspection of water containers or official vaccine registry cross-matching—to validate self-reported compliance metrics.

3. **Geographic and Sampling Limitations:** The study's sample ($N = 400$), though carefully stratified across socio-demographic axes and urban-rural divisions, was geographically restricted to the Punjab province of Pakistan. Given the distinct cultural, administrative, and socio-economic dynamics of Punjab, the findings may not fully generalize to other highly diverse provinces (such as Balochistan, Sindh, or Khyber Pakhtunkhwa) or other developing nations with different media infrastructure. Cross-provincial and multi-national comparative studies are highly encouraged to map regional variations in cultivation effects.

4. **Psychometric and Variable Constraints:** While the adapted Likert-type scales (MEIS and ERPAS) demonstrated high Cronbach's Alpha

reliability, they were adapted to fit specific provincial campaign constraints. Furthermore, the model focused heavily on media exposure duration, gender, and age, leaving other potential mediating and moderating variables—such as trust in government institutions, socio-economic status, and direct personal exposure to the disease—relatively unexamined. Future research should integrate these variables to construct a more comprehensive health-behavior model.

Conclusion

In the modern technoscientific era, public health campaigns can no longer operate under the naive, linear assumption that more communication automatically translates into more pro-health action. By extending George Gerbner's classic Cultivation Theory into the highly saturated digital and television ecosystem, this study has deconstructed a profound and counterintuitive theoretical pivot: the cultivation paradox. It demonstrates that while moderate daily media exposure successfully creates etiological awareness and positive attitudes, excessive exposure (>3 hours/day) induces a pathological 'Mean Epidemic Syndrome'—characterized by heightened cognitive anxiety, information avoidance, and behavioral paralysis.

The empirical evaluation of Punjab's anti-dengue and anti-polio campaigns highlights that the translation of health knowledge into practice is not a default psychological state. Rather, it is a highly contingent process heavily moderated by gendered structures of domestic agency and generational divides. The findings conclusively show that top-down, broad-spectrum media campaigns that treat the public as a homogeneous mass inevitably fail. The significant gender and generational literacy gaps documented in Punjab demand that policy makers move away from centralized mass bombardment toward decentralized, gender-transformative, and generational-specific multi-channel interventions.

Ultimately, to successfully navigate vector-borne and infectious disease crises, health ministries and digital regulators in the Global South must cooperate to establish 'allowing environments'. This requires pairing communication campaigns with structural municipal support (such as waste

management and water sanitation) and shifting the focus of digital platforms from fear-induced attention-seeking to empowering, actionable, and localized danger control. Only by dismantling cognitive, semantic, and structural barriers can public health communication successfully bridge the chasm between epidemic literacy and behavioral compliance, ensuring the physical and mental security of populations in a hyper-mediated world.

References

- Abroms, L. C., & Maibach, E. W. (2008). The effectiveness of mass communication to change public behavior. *Annual Review of Public Health*, 29(1), 219–234. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.publhealth.29.020907.090824>
- Atkin, C. K. (2001). Theory and principles of media health campaigns. In R. E. Rice & C. K. Atkin (Eds.), *Public communication campaigns* (3rd ed., pp. 49–68). SAGE Publications.
- Atkin, C. K., & Rice, R. E. (2013). Advances in public communication campaigns. In E. Scharrer (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of media studies: Vol. 5. Media effects/Media psychology* (pp. 526–551). Wiley-Blackwell.
- Babbie, E. (1992). *The practice of social research* (7th ed.). Wadsworth Publishing Company.
- Bailey, K. D. (1978). *Methods of social research*. Collier Macmillan Publishers.
- Chaffee, S. H., & Roser, C. (1986). Involvement and the consistency of knowledge, attitude, and behavior. *Communication Research*, 13(3), 373–399. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009365086013003006>
- Clarke, P., & Kline, F. G. (1974). Media effects reconsidered: Some new strategies for communication research. *Communication Research*, 1(2), 224–240. <https://doi.org/10.1177/009365027400100204>
- Clift, E., & Freimuth, V. (1995). Health communication: What is it and what can it do for you? *Journal of Health Education*, 26(2), 104–112. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10556699.1995.10603092>
- Corcoran, N. (2007). *Theories and models in communicating health messages*. SAGE Publications.
- Culbertson, H. M. (1968). What is an attitude? *Journal of Cooperative Extension*, 6(2), 79–86.
- Eagly, A. H., & Chaiken, S. (1993). *The psychology of attitudes*. Harcourt Brace Jovanovich.
- Fishbein, M., & Ajzen, I. (1975). *Belief, attitude, intention, and behavior: An introduction to theory and research*. Addison-Wesley.
- Flay, B. R., & Burton, D. (1990). Effective mass communication strategies for health campaigns. In C. Atkin & L. Wallack (Eds.), *Mass communication and public health: Complexities and conflicts* (pp. 129–146). SAGE Publications.
- Flay, B. R., & Sobel, J. L. (1983). The role of mass media in preventing adolescent substance abuse. *National Institute on Drug Abuse Research Monograph*, 47, 5–35.
- Gerbner, G., & Gross, L. P. (1976). Living with television: The violence profile. *Journal of Communication*, 26(2), 172–199. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.1976.tb01397.x>
- Grilli, R., Ramsay, C., & Minozzi, S. (2002). Mass media interventions: Effects on health services utilisation. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews*, 2002(1), CD000389. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD000389>
- Hairi, F., Ong, C. H. S., Suhaimi, A., Tsung, T. W., Mohd-Azhar, A. A., Sundaraj, C., & Soe, M. M. (2003). A knowledge, attitude and practices (KAP) study on dengue among selected rural communities in the Kuala Kangsar District. *Asia Pacific Journal of Public Health*, 15(1), 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1177/101053950301500107>
- Halstead, S. B. (2000). Successes and failures in dengue control—global experience. *Dengue Bulletin*, 24, 60–70.

- Inam, S. N. B., Safdar, S., & Omair, A. (2001). Health in Pakistan: Challenges and opportunities for academia. *Journal of Pakistan Medical Association*, 51(8), 178–180.
- Jahan, F. (2011). Dengue fever (DF) in Pakistan. *Asia Pacific Family Medicine*, 10(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1447-056X-10-1>
- Krech, D., & Crutchfield, R. S. (1948). *Theory and problems of social psychology*. McGraw-Hill.
- Littlejohn, S. W., & Foss, K. A. (Eds.). (2009). *Encyclopedia of communication theory* (Vol. 1). SAGE Publications.
- Noar, S. M. (2006). A 10-year retrospective of research in health mass media campaigns: Where do we go from here? *Journal of Health Communication*, 11(1), 21–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10810730500461059>
- Piotrow, P. T., Kincaid, D. L., Rimon, J. G., & Rinehart, W. (1997). *Health communication: Lessons from family planning and reproductive health*. Praeger Publishers.
- Rice, R. E., & Atkin, C. K. (Eds.). (2001). *Public communication campaigns* (3rd ed.). SAGE Publications.
- Rogers, E. M., & Storey, J. D. (1987). Communication campaigns. In C. Berger & S. Chaffee (Eds.), *Handbook of communication science* (pp. 817–846). SAGE Publications.
- Sultan, K., & Mansoori, M. A. (2009). The cognitive and conative effects of health communication campaign. *KUST Medical Journal*, 1(1), 9–16.
- Swaddiwudhipong, W., Lerdlukanavongse, P., Khumklam, P., Koonchote, S., Nguntra, P., & Chaovakiratipong, C. (1992). A survey of knowledge, attitude and practice of the prevention of dengue hemorrhagic fever in an urban community of Thailand. *The Southeast Asian Journal of Tropical Medicine and Public Health*, 23(2), 207–211.
- Van Benthem, B. H., Khantikul, N., Panart, K., Kanyasan, S., Kaewungwal, S., & Behrens, R. H. (2002). Knowledge and use of prevention measures related to dengue in northern Thailand. *Tropical Medicine & International Health*, 7(11), 993–1000. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-3156.2002.00950.x>
- Wakefield, M. A., Loken, B., & Hornik, R. C. (2010). Use of mass media campaigns to change health behaviour. *The Lancet*, 376(9748), 1261–1271. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(10\)60809-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(10)60809-4)
- Yousafzai, F. U. (2001). *Social communication campaigns through electronic media: A study of process and impact* (Unpublished doctoral dissertation). University of Peshawar, Pakistan.
- Zimicki, S., Hornik, R. C., Verzosa, C. C., Hernandez, J. R., de Guzman, E., Dayrit, M. M., Fausto, A., Lee, M. B., & Abad, M. (1994). Improving vaccination coverage in urban areas through a health communication campaign: The 1990 Philippines experience. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization*, 72(3), 409–422.